December 4, 2023

The root of most factors effecting society was defined by the French philosopher Joseph de Maistre's "Every country has the government it deserves" quip. The statement's most important quality is its concise nature. It would have been longer to say "The quality of every country's government is the mirror image of its citizenry's average IQ level." That would have been a more descriptive statement reflecting on the fact that governments are -at least in certain parts of the world- elected by the country's citizenry. Come to think of it, both statements could be summed up in "Garbage In, Garbage Out."

This is where we are today.

What happened in Israel is horrific; it's horrifying; wild animals are unable to do the savagery these Hamas beasts did. How the world reflected on it -by turning on Israel, sympathizing with Hamas; one of these lunatics was the former president of the United States B.Hussein Obama- is even more horrific (if the "horrific" can be quantified at all). The sane segment of several societies responded by saying "This is antisemitism!" I wish it was this simple.

The roots of Hamas' barbaric genocide goes back to the time (2005) when Israel handed Gaza over to the Arabs (making "the" single worst mistake since Israel's foundation in '48); this material is about how we arrived to this -seemingly- antisemitic lunacy around the world.

My argument (I'm going to put forward in this material) that it has been coming. It could be seen from miles. It is a complete waste of time to discuss the uselessness of the UN, how antisemitic the N.Y. Times has been since its foundation (Jews still read it, which is mind-bending), or how far the -so called- "mainstream media" has gone to the far-left. Not to mention other sewage channels such as 98% of the social media. This paper is trying to find the answer to the "how have we gotten to the point when parents pay \$60K/year to leading universities to indoctrinate their children, how the current US, French, German, Swedish, and several other governments are in existence at all, how/why anybody is still watching MSNBC (CNN, ABC, ...) subscribes to the N.Y. Times, LA Times (...approx. 98% of "mainstream media"), and why social media exists at all?" questions.

It all goes back to "Every country has the government it deserves." To "Garbage In, Garbage Out." Taking them into account, we will understand how the UN Human Rights Council Social Forum's upcoming chair will be Iran, or how have we dug ourselves to the depth that Inside Higher Ed issue described on 10/3/2016 by publishing statistics about the voting orientation and preferences of high education faculty members. It partially reads: "...Our investigation focuses on the Democratic-to-Republican voter registration ratios among faculty in five fields (Economics, History, Journalism/Communications, Law, and Psychology) at 40 leading universities. In all, we looked up 7,243 professors and found 3,623 to be registered Democratic and 314 Republican. The overall Democrat / Republican ratio is 11.5 / 1." -----in the United States of America (!!)

The best indicator how global societies' thinking capacity has sunk below critical minimum is well described in one word: "influencer." Let's think for a second. We have gotten to a point, when not only hundreds of millions of people (all ages, mostly between 15-40) listen to 20-year-old loudmouth, unintelligent arrogant gasbags (I've just seen one on TV: a maybe 20-year-old mindless lunatic named "Littleyeg", who has 200,000 -two hundred thousand- followers on Tik-Tok), but define them as "influencer" which means: they can and are actually influencing people. Up until a decade ago and through centuries the "you can easily be influenced" meant as a deep criticism bordering offense. It meant "you have no conviction, no spine, no will, no brain, you are blowing with the wind." That is what "you can be influenced" meant. By today standards it is cool to be influenced.

Einstein, Churchill, John Glenn, Leonard Bernstein didn't call themselves "influencer." They were geniuses in their fields and by their very being <u>inspired</u>, <u>thereby influenced</u> certain likeminded people who wanted to -at least to some degree- be very much who/what they were.

We have gotten so far down the rabbit hole that even -the few- conservative media outlets have been using the "influencer" tag as they use "professor", "private banker", "engineer." The terminology had become part of daily language, common understanding.

In short, by today's "standards" (if you want to call this cesspool a "standard") societies substituted "convincing someone" (as in: "having a fact or competing theories-based discussion") and/or "inspiring someone" (as in: by

December 4, 2023

living positive, productive, inspiring life inspire other people to do the same) with simply "influencing" the person. Most everything what's happening around the world today can be traced back to the question: why have societies allowed "influencers" to exist?

We have really been in a perfect storm. Through decreasing quality of education and various other ways we have been intentionally dumbing the last two generations (generations born after 1980) and it has shown in governments' quality within the so called "advanced societies." We created a downward spiral where governments dumbed societies -which in turn voted even dumber or more sinister "leaders" into position It has been going in rounds. Then "the" worst happened: social media was born. As we found out, pre-social media times were a blessing as far as we could keep insane crowds from talking with one another, filling each other's empty skulls with idiotic ideas (this will play cardinal role later). Social media played vital role in accelerating the downward spiral described above; the more unintelligent people can talk with one another, the more they "influence" one another, the more trouble they will cause. The "garbage in, garbage out" arithmetic has just been multiplied by a factor of 50; and it keeps increasing. I mean what opinion do you have of yourself if you use i.e. Facebook as your data source at any level? Without feeling intellectual urge to verify the information using other (more credible) sources? It isn't sufficient any more to say "I don't care, I don't read about any of these, I want to live my life"; the best argument against this view is "Just because you didn't read about the Second World War it still broke out, and you were in it." "Plausible deniability" isn't working any more. You will be in the carnage and you will probably be killed by the carnage you -based on your plausible deniabilityvoted for.

So now, let's see how have we gotten to today's "antisemitic" crowd that has been tearing hostage photos off and demonstrating for Hamas?

It all started with the Obama-organized freakshow a.k.a. "Occupy Wall Street" movement in 2011. Obama by then had been working hard to split the American society to get various groups to hate each other. This particular moron show was under the heading of "ninety-nine percenters." It was anti-corporation, anti-finance, anti-profit, anti-"you can make it big in America." In other words, it was an anti-capitalist freak show; happens to be anti-ideology that made America a world superpower in record time in history. I don't think 15% of the "demonstrators" were proficient in 8th grade math, but everybody went along, because the individual participants had a warm and fuzzy of themselves that they do something. They felt "important." Needles to say, all "mainstream" media jumped on the act promoting the madness. Facebook, Twitter and similar sewage canals were in their early stage (founded in 2004 and 2006 respectively), but their potential to mobilize lunatics was apparent.

MeToo garbage followed "Occupy Wall Street." In MeToo various societies threw out the "innocent until proven guilty" basic legal paradigm. We not only threw it out, we celebrated how dumb, illegal and banana republic our so called "advanced societies" became. In the process, a lot of people's lives were destroyed. I'd say more than 70% of the accused and professionally eliminated (many of them died in the process) were either completely innocent or way back when acted based on contemporary societal standards with consent from the other side. Again (and in every upcoming disasters) social media (assisting downright stupid people to hype each other's non-existing IQ) played absolute cardinal role in mostly baselessly accusing people and spreading (most of the times) big lies about them. It most certainly goes without saying, the mainstream cesspool (pardon me; "media") were all too happy to take part. We were proud of ourselves.

Not long after MeToo came BLM-riot time. It burned large number of cities in the US; the entire BLM "riot" constituted mess looting, chaos and arson. It had zero to do with anything resembling "political stands" or demonstration; tens of thousands of empty headed, jacked-up by social media, most of them high on something lunatics acted like packs of wild dogs, committed crimes on a vast scale. In this case social media fine-tuned and multiplied the trick invented by Al Sharpton and Jesse Jackson in blackmailing corporations (pardon me: they "convinced" corporations) to give "donations." It was nothing but another form of looting. Because of social media implications, many huge companies caved and "donated" large amount of money to BLM; none of them had the spine to tell BLM: "get lost." It happened of course in parallel with the ever corrupt and spinless political class kissing up to BLM. It is a great justice of life that as it turned out, most of the donated money were stolen by BLM founders.

December 4, 2023

As a "bonus round", right before the George Floyd case (May, 2020) covid started and lasted throughout 2020 and '21. There are all kinds of theories but it is safe to argue (because 90% of it has already been proven) covid was by far "the" largest scam in human history. It isn't the function of this paper to go into covid details but the social media played cardinal role in it. The last similar magnitude pandemic was the Hong Kong flu which happened between 1968-1971; in those years the U.S. landed Neil Armstrong on the Moon and organized the Woodstock Festival. The difference between the Hong Kong flu and Covid pandemics was the existence of social media and the 100% dishonesty of 95% of the American media (written or otherwise). Most of the global media (following the US media) and social media acted in tandem inventing / publishing / spreading packages of lies, -most of them generated by government agencies around the world. It ended up in a global panic that followed an exponential curve; entire (insane) populations using phony data (provided by "mainstream" media) kept elevating the panic level through social media.

As soon as Biden -thanks to the aforementioned plunging IQ level within the voting populous- got into the White House "transgender" became the buzz word. Right on que, the same recipe (largely invented throughout MeToo, finetuned during BLM and COVID) was used; social media and mainstream media (using each other's "data") kept pumping the public with garbage about the importance of how the US society handles transgenders; this particular gray had all kinds of shades, all of which was amplified by the "public relation" cesspool (mainstream media and social media). It ranged from "who can go into which bathroom" to a 6'2" guy by the last name of Thomas suddenly deciding to be a "woman athlete" and by doing so jumping from 400 some male swimming ranking to be numero-uno in women competition.

Now: Thomas can call himself a pregnant Alaskan black transgender kangaroo for all I care, but there are a few problems associated with the Thomas case: by letting this opportunistic jackass actually stand there as a "woman," we practically killed (eliminated, negated, wiped out, floored ...) the entire US women sport (swimming and otherwise). But here is a new level of insanity: we are at a point where Wikipedia describes this guy as "Thomas was assigned male at birth." I encourage everyone to try to find this guy's "male" first name. Anywhere on the internet. I wish you best of luck. The very same garbage generators (from Google on down) that made Thomas' male first name disappear across the entire internet are swearing in every congressional hearing: they are neutral. All the while (again, right on que) large corporation "donated" (more like: paid ransom) large sums of money to "support" this madness and committed marketing suicide in the process (Budweiser? Anyone?).

In all four aforementioned cases the common denominators could easily be identified:

- Mainstream media and social media invented/published/spread vast amounts of -mostly governmentlies that the low-IQ public swallowed hook-line and sinker
- The public proved to be a monolithic mess taking que from one another, being hyped by (mostly) social media sewage
- The actual, the only objective for large segment of the "protesting" was luting, burning, destroying and creating anarchy. These cretins pulled statues down, defaced others; 99% of them didn't have a clue about 99% of the historical figures whose statues they pulled off

In other words, the demonstrations (looting, burning, destroying) had nothing to do with Occupy Wall Street, MeToo, BLM and/or transgender issues. The mindless, unintelligent, dumb, jacked-up by social media crowd went out to create chaos.

This brought us to October 7, 2023 in Israel and what followed the massacre. As the world experienced through Wall Street, MeToo, BLM, COVID and transgender, large segment of the population (millions of people) were herding (like pack of wolfs) to and around a "cause" they had/have no clue about, never read about, --but they were told (by influencers through social media) to go and create chaos. It is a high probability that 80 out of 100 "protesters" would not be able to pinpoint Israel on a map, have no clue what PLO stands for and would not be able to answer the question of "do you know who Golda Meir was?"

They draped themselves into Palestinian garb, they shouted "From river to the sea" (no one asked them "Could you tell me which river and which sea we are talking about?"), they tore hostage photos off the wall, they actually were stupid enough to argue on TV camera: "It was Israel that killed her own citizens" (I mean...if you are a moron at least try not to advertise it). And wouldn't you know it? Large segment of the political class, top-rank universities, "mainstream" media and the ever-deepening sewage ditch, the "social" media got on the act. Right on que. Large corporations "expressed concern" about it and demanded ceasefire. Does these sound like a pattern to you? Again, stupid, IQ-less, "influenced" human-robots were herded onto the streets and "protested."

December 4, 2023

They (in about 80%) had no clue what they were protesting for/against, they might have been antisemites if they knew what antisemite is, --but hey! the influencer said let's go, --off they went.

The events between "Wall Street" and "October 7" beg the question: how can this large segment of the human society become this stupid? Because most likely, events between "Wall Street" and "October 7" are the symptoms of an underlying problem, not the problems themselves.

Well, my argument goes like this: starting after the Vietnam war, the socialist trend in the US gathered strength; not yet in an exponential way, but let's just say it was sitting on the bottom side of the hockey stick. The first sign of the "things can go wrong, if we elect stupid people as leader" potential was manifested in 1976 when the American voters sent Jimmy "America's Brain Injury" Carter into the White House. Four years later Carter was (thankfully) gone, but the movement wasn't.

A simple mathematical model will answer the "where these many lunatics are coming from?" question. Let's assume:

- There were two (2) ultra left-wing professors teaching at 2 universities in 1980 (in the entire US)
- Each thought 30 students per semester
- 15% of the students were receptive to the professors' ideologies
- 1 of every 240 student went on to become professor, further spreading the lefty ideology

By 2020 (in 40 years) the above model produced:

- 13,200 graduates (assuming everybody graduated)
- 3.300 ultra-left lunatics
- 46 ultra-left professors

This is starting with <u>two</u> (!!) professors in 1980. Of course, there were not two, but a few hundred far-left lunatics allowed to teach at US universities in 1980. This is the mathematical answer to the "where the demonstrations are coming from" question. If you think this is a theory only: in April, 1983 President Reagan's National Commission on Excellence in Education" task force wrote a white paper on the current (1983) status titled "A Nation At Risk: The Imperative For Educational Reform." (It's on Google). Again, that was in 1983, the time we today remember (as far as education is concerned) as "Oh those were great times!" Then the multiplying factor -social media- was introduced into the equation; it exponentially accelerated the western world's decay. This is the spring from which all Wall Street, MeToo, BLM, COVID and "antisemitic October 7" crowds are flowing from.

The most serious problem of the entire matter is actually well hidden in the above-described model: there would be no problem finding and fire "46 ultra-left" professors; but how do we find/fire 3,300 ultra left lunatics? In my estimate, in order to clean up the US education (transferring it from a brainwashing facility to an "education center"), we would have to fire 95% of the leadership in all high schools and universities and fire approx. 40%-50% of the teachers, eliminate the Teachers Union and jail their entire leadership first and foremost Randi Weingarten. This amounts to getting rid of approx. 2.2 million teachers in high schools and universities. This is most certainly impossible which makes the "transferring our society back to normal" also (almost certainly) impossible.

The last 12-14 years transformed societies into a modus operandi they will have hard time to get out of. The vicious self-amplifying circle looks like this:

- The more a society shifts to the left, the more uniformed, regimented, command and control based it becomes
- In order to freely operate such system they need herd mentality (pretty much mindless) population obeying to commands
- In order to train entire populations they need educational facilities where they train people who later become "C" level executives throughout the cross sections of the society (from politics through financing, media, high-tech, economy, education, book publishing, real estate, etc.) further training the public
- The process described here exponentially increases the number of ultra-left lunatics to reach critical
 mass in voting power. It is a completely self-generating, self-amplifying process whereby the more low
 IQ voters we have, the more they will vote for ultra-left "I send you a check every month" politicians, who
 in-turn will further amplify and enforce the socialist (anti-western, anti-American line of thinking) model

December 4, 2023

It was a critical element to use Machiavelli's famous quip about serious political diseases: "They are like Aetolian fevers: they are easy to treat early on while they are difficult to discern, but virtually untreatable by the time they become obvious." This is why it took them forty years.

Granted, this plan to destroy western societies stroke pay-dirt with COVID; it was a dress rehearsal how people can be ordered to live within an anti-society, anti-human, half-socialist, practically Nazi frame of life. I'm relatively certain even the "leaders" were shocked how easy it was.

It hasn't been going well and hasn't been going to the right direction. Western societies have been dumbing their population by various means: predominantly by pushing education to the far left, all the way to "equity", allowing (encouraging) mess illegal immigration; it -as a natural outcome- has resulted in two self-centered, egomaniac (they call it "self-aware"), "what's-in-it-for-me" generations. One of the prerequisites to achieve this objective is to train an obeying society. Before anyone sees contradiction between self-centered, what's-in-it-for-me and "obeying"; there is none. These two generations will obey so long as they are provided with services/products they want to get on a moment notice.

This is the point where the two vectors intersect; the ruling class's interest is to rule an obeying society. The people are happy to obey so long as their daily (mostly primitive, market-dictated) needs/desires are served. In order to intersect these two "interests", the ruling class must work on the continuous decline of the society's IQ level. There is no better way, more effective tool to do that than using "social" media. Once critical mess of obeying people is in place, control can be achieved. This is the "Liberal turned - Democrat turned - Progressive turned - Socialist turned - Progressive anarchist turned - ending in Oppressive Fascist - resulting in the collapse of the society" road on which various (most) countries (between San Francisco / Eastern border of Hungary) have been traveling in the last four decades.

I mean how low a society's IQ must sink to in order to have Hamas demonstrations on the streets, pro-Hamas articles in leading mainstream media, pro-Hamas speeches in congress and parliaments, pro-Hamas (absolutely non-binding just to show off and demonstrate how stupid we are) voting in city councils, and speech by a former US president equating Hamas and Israel?? ---after Hamas massacred more than 1,200 innocent human beings and published video clips they were shutting while they were doing it.

This is where we are at folks.

It won't end well.