

By Laslo Olah

***"America will never be destroyed from the outside.
If we falter and lose our freedoms, it will be because
we destroyed ourselves"
- Abraham Lincoln***

Whether you like it or not, I think you should allow yourself to read through these pages. Then try – without emotions- to concentrate on the essence of the argument. Try to imagine I neither hate you nor your philosophy. All I'm doing is stating my case. And you should too.

Pretext

I'm writing this piece without prejudice because I don't have "a pony in this race"; I am sixty-six, considering retirement, moving out of the country if I decided; I'm looking at a terrific 20-25 years. Problems occurring in the next 1-2-3 decades are not mine. I've considered myself a "Centrist" who voted for Bill Clinton (1st time, then he lied under oath), socially sensitive; what placed me on the other side of the so-called "democrats" is that I'm driven by data, facts, common sense, and logic. This paper is based on those platforms; therefore, it is not an optimistic one, making it even harder for me, a born optimist, to write. So let me just say this: the more this paper will be proven wrong over the next quarter-century, the happier I am going to be.

MY BONA FIDES

Some people around me like to dismiss me as a "rightwing nut job," which is interesting for one reason: none of the very same people have ever sat down with me and laid their facts on the table to support their (hopefully logical) argument.

Rightwing nut job or not, my bona fides include: predicting the last two popes (by name), predicting BREXIT (coming within 0.4% to the exact percent Brexiters won by) ... while Financial Times' "Poll of Polls" indicated "48% remain / 46% leave" on June 23, 2016, the date of the voting...(some "poll of polls"); and I predicted President Trump's election from June 2016 to election day ... while every single one of the following: Bloomberg, IBD/TPP, YouGov, LA Times, ABC, FOX, Monmouth, NBC/WSJ and Reuters predicted a Clinton presidency as late as November 1, 2016... (some "professionals"). I also wrote a book back in 2009, talking about 80% of the issues (globalization, global warming, UN, etc.) that the country discussed over the last four years. Since all of these are in writing, this is not a matter of opinion, feelings, political orientation, or "I'm enlightened and you are a rightwing nut"; --this is history; these are data and facts.

My other bona fide is that I lived 32 years in the center of socialist Eastern Europe (under the "blessing" of the Soviet Union dictated system) and have been calling America "home" for 34 years. In other words, I have more experience detecting socialism, knowing what comes when you are governed by one, and how your life is going to change than 99.99% of the people in the United States who --on a daily basis- pontificate their (non existing) knowledge in the American media ... or listen to it.

I have stopped sending writings to about 90% of the people I used to send to because it doesn't make sense anymore; people operate on feelings, emotions leaving everything else out. People by and large left thinking behind. I don't think this paper will change anyone's mind, but at the very least, I want to generate some discomfort in people twenty years from now: *"we were told, while we still could have done something before we let socialists ruin our country and drive our children's lives into the ditch."* Folks, regard this as a friendly note.

VIEW FROM 30,000 FT

As of July 20, 2020, the United States population is 331,002,651. In the 2020 US presidential election, one of the two candidates (supposed to be the "best" after the primaries) is Joe Biden, who cannot finish a sentence. Just stop, --think, --let it sink in. Political affiliation notwithstanding and by simple logic only: if Joe Biden is one of the two "bests" this country can produce in a "presidential" capacity, we have a tragically severe and systemic problem in the United States of America.

SUBJECT AND RESEARCH AREA OF THIS PAPER

This paper argues three points: that Donald Trump either by 2020 or 2024, is going to be the last "Republican" President of the United States for at least a quarter-century; that the generations born after 1980 have been willingly but unknowingly digging their own and their children's "standard of living" grave; and (as the result of the first two) it is way within the realm of possibilities that America will approach "Venezuelan Standards" within two decades.

WHAT'S THE ISSUE?

On July 22, 2020, there was an article in the N.Y. Post authored by Michael Goodwin, titled “Joe Biden may pay for the chaos in major cities.” On July 23, 2020, a Karl Rove article appeared in the WSJ titled “Joe Biden’s Lazy New Ideas” and another one from Miranda Devine in the N.Y. Post titled “Delusional Democrats intent on trying to fool Americans.” These titles literally mirror thousands of other titles, discussions, reports, analyses, papers, etc. --focusing on the wrong thing. It is not about Biden, not about any politician (not even Pres. Trump) in either party in any capacity; it is about the voters. More precisely, the changing mindset of tens of millions of voters in the country. ***Yet, no one is talking about that.*** No one talks about how a Barack Obama, the most anti-Semite president in US history, received 82% and 63% of the Jewish vote in 2008 and 2012 respectively; how a de Blasio got elected twice by New Yorkers; how Bernie Sanders, a self -admitted socialist is cheered by millions of Americans. That is the issue, that is the problem, and that is what needs to be researched. This paper will make an attempt.

OVERVIEW

Terminologies

Before we start, let us discuss/define/clear up a few terminologies, such as “Liberalism and Liberals” and “Democrats.” As far as liberalism is concerned, John Locke (1632-1704), regarded the father of liberalism, wrote in his thesis:

the basis of liberalism is the person’s natural rights to life, freedom, and personal gain without the interference of the reigning government. The individual person’s liberalism equal to the freedom from government’s oppression.

We can regard the first wave of liberalism from the beginning of the twentieth century; the movement’s objectives were the constitutional rights of ***economic individualism, personal freedom, and freedom of speech***; all under a duly elected parliament and government supervision. In this context, the “parliament” could be the most crucial point; parliament consists of elected representatives chosen by the people. This is the very basis of the European Democracy and the American Representative Democracy.

Throughout history, the “Party of Democrats” was the one fighting for slavery, maintaining segregation, establishing the KKK, to this day, has been keeping the black population in poverty, entertaining ideas such as the Green New Deal, running states such as Illinois, Michigan, and California into the ground and supporting anti-American lunatics in elected positions. Mr. Robert Woodson, a dedicated community and civil rights (black) leader, wrote a brilliant book titled “The Triumphs of Joseph: How Today’s Community Healers Are Reviving Our Streets And Neighborhoods.” In that, Mr. Woodson gives a detailed account and analysis of how black race merchants (which category constitutes approximately 90% of the “leaders” you see on television today) took advantage of the black community and how they got rich by doing so.

As far back as 1960, JFK understood that something was about to go wrong when he issued the “*Ask not what your country can do for you – ask what you can do for your country*” statement in his inaugural speech. He gave the warning by observing what was going on in the American society. He was right; by today, Ocasio-Cortez style mindless, Ilhan Omar and Rashida Tlaib style self-absorbed, destructive America haters, Chuck Schumer style spineless, and Nancy Pelosi type, downright dumb characters are in elected and/or visible positions.

This paper is going to use terminologies such as “democrats,” “liberals,” “social democrats,” and others. All, without exception, can be accurately categorized as anti-democrat, anti-liberal (as per the terminologies’ original meaning), anti-American, in large part unintelligent (which has nothing to do with “educated”), and “brainwashed-under-the-heading-of-educated” mindless herd.

In Today's America

We are looking at a new divide in our society, which will most likely stay with us for the next half a century. I argue that what has been happening in the country for the last decade is the result, the outcome of a four decade long, democrat-turned-liberal-turned-socialist-turned-far-left-anarchist ("Social Liberal") activism that plans to destroy the country. Social Liberals don't intend to "destroy" the country because of malice; they just want to change the country "for the better." The only problem, their intended "change" is identical to covering the canals in Venice, Italy and, build parking lots on them. You can do it, and it might be useful for drivers; however, it will not be the same Venice, Italy anymore. In short, they want a "different America," an America that is no longer "America." The "proof" of this process is in the list of events that came to my mind (representing a far longer list); these have happened in the last decade; most of them within the last 3.5 years.

- Overall issues
 - Occupy Wall Street
 - The entire Obama presidency
 - Antifa
 - MeeToo
 - Abortion all the way to birth
 - Black Lives Matter not having any relationship with "how black lives really matter" –since George Floyd, more than 200 blacks were killed, almost exclusively by blacks. No one has in the BLM has had anything to say about those
 - CHOP
 - Portland, OR
 - The idea that roughly 40% of the country identifies as "Democrat" (I will get back to this in a minute), and "the" best candidate they could produce is Joe Biden
 - Defunding police
 - Cancel "culture"
 - Mayors such as in N.Y., Seattle, Detroit, L.A., Chicago, San Francisco, Portland ...
 - Governors such as in N.Y., CA, Michigan, Illinois, New Jersey, Oregon, ...
 - Facebook taking postings down
 - Twitter, Google block non-liberal, non-socialist views and postings
 - That over the last 10 years the country has been on a mission to define "he" and "she"; while (during Obama) the economy was in the ditch and the US had lower respect around the world than during Carter
 - Russia!! Hoax
 - Ukraine!! Hoax
 - Kavanaugh!! Hoax
 - Failed Muller committee
 - That San Francisco moved its homeless population into first-class hotels, not only giving them room and three meals plus laundry service but spend over \$3,000/month providing them with vodka (yes, the booze; "Vodka")
 - De Blasio participated in painting Black Lives Matter on 5th Ave. on July 9th
 - FBI is participating in planned coup d'etat
 - Not understanding the difference between "Immigration," "ILLEGAL Immigration" and "Invasion;" naming illegal immigrants as "undocumented," seeing tens of thousands of them marching across America's cities
 - That we considered "open borders"
 - Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream is dropping Eskimo Pie so the company could be "part of the solution on racial equality"
 - 90% of American media has gone to the far left
- Close to 100% of the American social media has been functioning as a communist suppressive arm of the American left, conspiring against conservatives:
 - Google removing conservative Web site Breitbart from its search results
 - Amazon's video site Twitch suspending President Trump's account "after he raises concerns about defunding the police"
 - Facebook removing posts from Trump's re-election campaign
 - Amazon temporarily banning an e-book critical of coronavirus lockdowns written by conservative commentator Alex Berenson

The New Divide

September 15, 2020

- Amazon charity site Amazon Smile “won’t let you give to the [pro-life, pro-marriage] Family Research Council . . . but you can give to Planned Parenthood.”
- Facebook admitting to banning pro-life advertisements in 2018 during Ireland’s abortion referendum.
- Former Facebook employees admitting to Gizmodo that the social-media behemoth routinely suppresses conservative views.
- Google and YouTube censoring content that conflicts with the World Health Organization recommendations
- While Twitter suspended Donald Trump Jr.’s account for publicly agreeing with doctors who objected what Dr. Fauci is doing, Twitter allowed the following tweet by Ali Khamenei. Leader of Iran: *“The Islamic Republic of Iran will never forget the martyrdom of Haj Qasem Soleimani and will definitely strike a reciprocal blow to the US.”* (N.Y.Post 7.30.20)
- Media programs such as “The View” are thriving
- Influence of George Soros, a proven Nazi sympathizer
- Democratic presidential primaries’ result in 2016 was: 16,917,853 (Clinton), 13,210,550 (Sanders). Totaling 30,128,403 votes. It meant that **43.84%** of the total Democratic votes were given to a self-admitted socialist in the United States of America
- There were 124,490,696 votes counted in 2016 at the presidential election. That meant: there was **10.61%** of the total electorate who voted for a self-admitted socialist in the United States of America. Pause and think about that
- In 2016 at the presidential election Clinton received 62,916,638 votes. 62,916,638 voters voted for a proven criminal (let’s just agree: anyone I know would have spent 5 years in jail for destroying evidence under subpoena)
- Tearing statues down
- In Oregon, a city council mandated for white people to wear a mask
- Uber offers lower rates for black owned businesses
- Chick Fillet CEO: “White people must shine blacks’ shoes”
- Nike formulates anti-American advertising campaign

All of these examples (and many more) require one element and one element only: mass amount of citizens who:

- Keep voting for the wrong representatives and leaders; “leaders” who destroy and degrade the area they supposed to be responsible for
- At times allow, most of the times cheer these elected officials while they are doing the destruction (often leading to many deaths, such as Cuomo’s brilliant idea to place corona patients into nursing homes)
- “Understand and reason” what these officials are doing (such as in San Francisco, Berkeley, Seattle, Portland, N.Y., Minneapolis ...)
- Join the crowd of anarchists helping them do their deeds (such as Black Lives Matter)
- Join the crowd of looters, destroyers, and violent protesters (such as BLM and Antifa)
- Accept it as a “norm;” a “new way of living”

In a sense, all these examples on the list are mere symptoms of a much greater, much deeper, much worse problem representing a systemic problem most likely irreversible in our country. The real question that no one has been willing to formulate:

- That San Francisco moves its homeless population into first-class hotels, paying for rooms, food, laundry service and vodka (to the tune of \$3,000 for vodka itself), is not the question;
- That there are people on the city’s council who approved this move, is not the question;
- That voters voted these council members into office, is not the question;
- That voters, even now, do not demand the resignation of the mayor and the entire City Council, is not the question;
- **“The” question:** what kind of education system, morals, ethical and societal order must be present to:
 - Create voters,
 - Who voted these kinds of people into power,
 - Who are now on the path of destroying San Francisco?

If you run the identical model on N.Y., you will find that New Yorkers ***did know*** “bad N.Y.” (under Dinkins) from “good N.Y.” (under Giuliani and Bloomberg). They also knew (unless the entire N.Y. voting population had become a block of retards) who de Blasio is, and what he will do (he campaigned on them). They also knew that de Blasio is more radical than Dinkins was. With that (after voting de Blasio into office ***twice***):

- That New York’s crime rate has been skyrocketing is not the question;
- That there are people in the city’s council who approved taking a billion dollars out of the police budget is not the question;
- That they took 600+ plain cloths cops off the street, is not the question;
- That voters voted these council members and de Blasio into office, is not the question;
- That voters even now do not demand the resignation of de Blasio and the entire city council, is not the question;
- ***“The” question:*** what kind of education system, morals, ethical and societal order must be present to:
 - Create voters,
 - Who vote these kinds of people into power,
 - Who are now on the path of destroying New York

New York and San Francisco are two examples: again, you can be at any point of the political spectrum, you must realize:

- Crime has been skyrocketing in N.Y.
- The homeless population which blankets S.F. is allowed by the city council to practice anti-social behavior such as defecating on the middle of the sidewalk, approx. 20ft from a cafeteria’s outdoor sitting arrangement in S.F. downtown (I have the photo).

You can be a mindless ultra-left communist, a ultra-right skinhead lunatic, or anywhere in between: if you own that cafeteria where the mentioned “accident” (more like a “norm” now) happened in S.F., if you sit there as a guest, if you live on that street, if you just walk by when (or after) it is happening, if you live in the city where it is allowed to happen; you would demand the immediate resignation of the entire city council, the mayor and his/her deputies. Period.

What has been happening in New York under de Blasio is neither a matter of opinion nor a matter of political standing; it’s a fact. A data: as of July 5, 2020, homicides have gone up by 27.1% (from 155 to 197) year to date from 2019 to 2020. In the same period, shootings have gone up by 66% (from 439 to 717). As per the N.Y. Times’ reporting (not a typical anti de Blasio bastion) on May 15, 2020: approximately 420,000 people have left N.Y.; about 35% of the “top 1% income group”, 30% of the top 5%, and 15% of the top 10%. It will devastate N.Y.’s tax base going forward.

Under Obama’s chief of staff, Mayor of Chicago between 2011-19, Rahm Emanuel, there were 4,690 registered homicides in Chicago. Have you heard a single democratic-socialist criticizing Emanuel?

These examples, facts and data show that a tectonic occurrence is taking place in our country. I purposefully used the geological phrase; a process has long been underway, step-by-step taking shape and has been accumulating such power that it is causing a perilous earthquake-like situation in many places of the country. Another visual would be a dam slowly compromised by unnoticeable cracks within, while the water level is rising. At the point when the water pressure overcomes the dam’s compromised foundation, the dam will break. Welcome to the United States in 2020.

FUNDAMENTALS

The American Thesis

“America” is a thesis, a philosophy, an ideology of “you can come from any background to become anything so long as you are willing to work for it,” the ideology of “there are plenty of fishing poles, but you must catch your own fish,” a firm knowledge and understanding of freedom and the protection of it with your own guns; the idea of you respect the country and its history; the voting rights securing one vote for everyone, giving the same power to everyone; the concept of “Proud To Be American,” the concept of patriotism, a strong sense of belonging; all of that and a lot more guaranteed by our Constitution.

“America,” with all her faults, has been the best political and societal structure mankind ever devised. “Legal and illegal immigrants,” “work visas and their restriction,” “Dreamers” (and many more) issues are direct proof of that (although people highly critical of America want to leave these out of the discussion). These exist for one reason and one reason only: people want to get in by the tens of millions each year. As we like to say here: *“America has never been perfect, but we’ve been trying to get there.”*

Today, the very definition of “America” separates the people within. “Supporters” is a population segment that enthusiastically supports the “American Thesis” understands her shortcomings and thrives to “get there.”

The opposing side are the “Destroyers” with a pure ideology of contempt against America, who see America as a source of the problems, declare that America is responsible for most of the world’s problems, and want to tear down what America was founded and built upon. This defines the political spectrum’s bi-polar nature, obviously having various commitment strengths along both sides of the spectrum toward the center. It is safe to say that 90% of the people being “right of center” of the scale are identified as Supporters, while 50%-60% of the “left of center” spectrum are Destroyers.

The “Supporters” / “Destroyers” are the new divide in the “American Experiment”

Which side of the dividing line people are has been determined by one factor and one factor only:

- Did you vote for de Blasio in N.Y. or Breed in S.F., or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Newsom in CA, or didn’t you?
- Did you support Bernie Sanders, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Elisabeth Warren in MA, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Francis O’Rourke in TX, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for the now existing N.Y. city council members, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Obama twice, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Hillary Clinton, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Kate Brown in OR, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Wheeler in Portland, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Rahm Emanuel in Chicago, or didn’t you?
- Did you vote for Lightfoot in Chicago, or didn’t you?

In other words, did you vote for people who declared their membership in the “Destroyer” block to lead or represent you, or didn’t you?

Let me demonstrate this another way: probably 90% of the people who are eating tree bark today in Venezuela and complaining about having electricity for only 4 hours a day repeatedly voted for Hugo Chavez. Voted, using the identical reasons people in the US voted for the list of people named above and/or want to vote for Biden. America today is Venezuela in 1999; the problems are visible, the solutions are clear, but half of the population is either not noticing/understanding it or downright supporting it.

Don’t doubt these:

- No one in the contemporary “Destroyer” block in Venezuela thought in 1999 what will it be like in 2020. No one.

- The very same people who supported Chavez all the way are “the” most shocked at today’s outcome. They truly, honestly do not understand what happened. They truly, honestly, do not understand that they were the ones who made this possible to happen.
- Make no mistake about it: the United States of America can become Venezuela.

Early visual of the “transfer into Venezuela” is Portland, Oregon: the city has been a famous sanctuary city, a socialist-liberal bastion, the number one home of and host to Antifa, this anarchist Nazi thug gang. Now a large part of Portland looks like Berlin after WWII. ***Here is the question: how many of the people who lost their businesses, jobs, money, livelihood, maybe homes, and children’s tuition voted for Potter, Adams, Hales and Wheeler (mayors between 2005-2020)??***

In the examples (only representing a tiny fraction of the total in the country) on the list above, it really doesn’t matter what your “explanation” or “reasoning” was. The people you voted for declared a philosophy representing the diagonal opposite to the “American Thesis.” You voted for them because you agreed with them. If you (i.e.) hated Trump but saw and understood who Hillary Clinton is, you should have stayed at home (I hated Bush 41, didn’t trust Bill Clinton and Ross Perot; I sat that presidential election out). Your potential argument of “I’m not a “Destroyer,” “I just want to change the country for the better,” has also never mattered and is not holding any water. You can work on (helping us) “changing” the country for the better along with the Constitution’s limits, and along with the ideology that made this country the world’s only superpower in a short 200 years (actually... far less if you count American involvement in WWI). But if you are a Sanders supporter, then you (your intentions notwithstanding) are a “Destroyer” as far as “America” is concerned. No matter what degree you have, what philosophy/reasoning you are using, how well intentioned you are, how much you feel “the other side is worse,” ---nothing matters. ***You are voting for someone who wants to destroy the country as the country has been known and the country that has been thriving.***

No matter how much socialist liberals want to deny it, we are different; different societies, cultures, histories, and religions that hardwire certain conditions into the local population. America will always be unlike (i.e.) Europe. If you talked with Russians they will say: a European style democracy will never work there. They don’t have the cultural and historical basis for that. Similarly, America will never be socialist; it will disintegrate way before that would happen. Bernie Sanders is not a bad person, but he should only be allowed to run for presidency in Nicaragua. The “allowed” phrase in this context is “allowed by the voters.” ***“America” and “Bernie” are polar opposites of each other;*** people who cheered Bernie and America are the polar opposite of each other. In short,

***if you did vote in 2008, ’12 and ’16, those votes
by definition, place you on one side of the dividing line.
There is no “sitting on the fence.”***

THE QUESTION

The question this paper tries to find the answer to: is what happened in this country that allowed the growth of the “Destroyer” segment of the voting population?

To enforce the question above, here is another point: during Corona, the US government gave \$600/week to assist people to stay financially afloat. As it turned out, the \$600 incentivized people to stay at home and do nothing. So, everybody started to ask the question about “how much would be OK that would help people but still forced them to go back to work?” The problem: we are (again) asking the wrong question. The right question would be to ask: how have we raised a society where people (on a society level, being the “norm”, not the “exception”) would rather get the money and do nothing at home than be active, self-supporting and creative? I know many-many people; I cannot name one who could be forced to stay at home and do nothing no matter how much you paid them. **The right question:** where and when did we lose our work ethic, decency, self-support, need of self-worth, responsibility, and integrity?

If we found the answer to these questions, we would understand how Obama became president, how 43.84% of the democratic voters (10.61% of the total US electorate) voted for Sanders, and how CHOP was declared as a “summer of love,” by the mayor of Seattle. We would find the answer to how - in 2008- 82% of the American

Jews voted for Obama the most anti-Semitic president within the last century, and –after Obama provided a large stack of evidence as to who he is- 63% of them still voted for him in 2012.

Because –as I've been reminding people for about 20 years- Obama, de Blasio, Newsom, Durkan, Garcetti, and others were not put into their respective positions by God, or by the King's Decree. No, their constituents voted them in to their position and power. They voted them into power repeatedly. Obama was, is and will be Obama; de Blasio was, is and will be de Blasio. Adam Schiff a House member from CA, repeatedly lied into TV cameras for two and a half solid years about “having evidence that Trump was a Russian agent.” Turned out that not only did he not have “evidence,” and that the declassified materials showed: that Schiff was told by various witnesses he called, that there was no knowledge or evidence against Trump. So Schiff was lying for close to three years into the face of the Americans. Schiff was, is, and will be Schiff. Yet, was Schiff kicked out? No.

Was he reprimanded? No. Was there an uprising in California in Schiff's district? No. We cannot blame these people for who they are. But we most definitely must blame the people who voted for them. As Sir Winston Churchill said, **“People have the government they deserve.”** It has never been truer than in the United States in 2020. It is worth mentioning: the social-liberal activities in the US are not exclusive for our country; they are a global trend. A prime example is the European Union's mathematically impossible existence, “global warming” elevated to “madness” and how Muslim (98% illegal) “immigrants” flooded Europe (on Angela Merkel's invitation) and will be essentially eliminating Europe, as we know it, by 2055-2060. This paper, however, concentrates on the US alone.

WILLING SUSPENSION OF THINKING

There was an article authored by Michael Goodwin in the N.Y. Post on July 26th, titled “COVID-19 is at the core of Donald Trump's election woes.” The second paragraph reads:

“..The recent surge of coronavirus cases across much of the country is pushing the issue to the front of the presidential campaign. If the election were held today, the outcome would turn largely on voters' judgment of how the candidates handled the issue...”

Let's stop and think about this for a second: COVID has been with the world for five months and will be with us for another 6-7; let's say it's a “12-14 month pandemic.” The list of Donald Trump's achievements (consisting of blacks, Latinos, women, immigration, economy, ISIS, federal judges, rewriting NAFTA, Obamacare partial elimination, ongoing successes with Israel-Arab world peace negotiation...), consists of 270+ items, many times correcting 30-40 years of problems all other presidents promised to do but failed (such as First Step, VA problems, US Embassy moving to Jerusalem –from 1995!-, etc.) and hugely positive impacts for 1-2 decades going forward (such as rewriting NAFTA and revitalizing the Rust Belt). And you are telling me the “year-long pandemic” is the crucial factor? Here is the tragic news: it is the crucial factor, Goodwin is right. This is how mindless our society has become.

This symptom was well demonstrated in N. Korea. A team of American eye surgeons went to N. Korea to help people. The report showed the moment when patients –after surgery- took the gauze off their eyes. After recognizing they could now see, every single one of them ran to the poster of Kim Jong-il, the reigning dictator of N. Korea, and thanked their now healthy eye-site to the poster (while the surgeons who did the job were present). Stop and think about this story; how far a human mind can be conditioned not to think. There are degrees of how a society loses its collective thinking capacity, N. Korea being the “end result.” Just because the First Amendment is still legal in America, it doesn't mean there are no significant sightings and pieces of evidence that the free-speech/free-thinking suppression process had begun about three decades ago.

Throughout history, it has been proven time and time again that the human brain can be conditioned to be one-directional. The most notable example is Adolph Hitler, whose thesis was:

“All propaganda has to be popular and has to accommodate itself to the comprehension of the least intelligent of those whom it seeks to reach.”

“The broad masses of a population are more amenable to the appeal of rhetoric than to any other force.”

"By the skillful and sustained use of propaganda, one can make a people see even heaven as hell or an extremely wretched life as paradise."

"Make the lie big, make it simple, keep saying it, and eventually they will believe it."

If you recognize close parallels between Hitler's four theses above and what the social-liberals (aka "Democrats") have been doing, especially over the last decade, --it is because those parallels exist. The "you can keep your doctor", "calling CHOP a love fest," and Portland a "peaceful demonstration" spring to mind. 98% of Chuck Schumer and Nancy Pelosi's statements could also be direct proof.

In the case of Hitler's Germany, I found that people there had several, well-formulated excuses for why they were cheering for or assisting the Nazis. It ranged from "I was told what to do," to "I could thank him so much," "I believed in him," "I trusted Hitler that he was right," all the way to "Those 'up there' must have known more than I did, so they must have been right." All sub-categories could easily be grouped into four main ones (in order of priority): **"Self-interest," "Wanting to get along," "Dumbness,"** and **"Fear;"** where "Self-interest" occupied 80% of the total spectrum. People willingly suspended their thinking and decency to the tune of the Austrians (to Hitler's utter amazement) cheering Hitler --while he was occupying them. Let me say this: if you see a difference between people "complying" out of self-interest in Hitler's Germany and Dreyer's Grand Ice Cream dropping Eskimo Pie in 2020, so the company could be "part of the solution on racial equality" or what Nike has been doing (along with over 1,000 American firms kissing up to a Marxist gang, Black Lives Matter), please, give me a call.

People see Hitler's Germany as a "killing machine, that will never happen here" or N. Korea as a "that is a dictatorship we will never tolerate." Let me ask this: would you, could you --only a short 8-10 years ago- imagine or dream that Americans can be beaten up in the United States of America for wearing a MAGA hat? Or that most leading American media sources wanted to destroy a 17-year-old high-school kid for not backing down when provoked and wearing a MAGA hat? It is hugely important to note that no person within this same time frame has been beaten up for wearing an "Elect Hillary" or "Biden 2020" or any Bernie Sanders garb. ***It's the result of a social liberal gang mentality.***

Like the Soviet Union, China, N. Korea and the entire Eastern European region under Soviet times demonstrated (along with Hitler's thesis), the system first suppresses people's free speech; this, without applying pressure (mainly through media) will silence 50% of the population. Then the system will use various, increasing amounts of pressure on the other 50%. A great example is how China has been instituting its "Social Credit Score" system in order to avoid another Tiananmen Square style uprising. Poland needed John Paul II's speech in 1979 and a small group of people in 1980 (Solidarity) that initiated the change in Poland. The rest of the country was silent. The constant fear (or "consideration" if you like that better) of what to say (and who to say it to) is going to change the thinking process, eventually ending up in the N. Korea model. The more freedom of speech is present, the harder the process is to change the human mind into a wanted direction. A great example is the Stockholm Syndrome to show how people under certain conditions will develop a psychological alliance and emotional bond with their captors. Research has shown (including the actual case in Stockholm in 1973) that the Syndrome's psychological alliance between captors and captives does not end when the danger ceases to exist. Although the process in a socialist system is a lot slower, the process is the same; make the "captive audience" psychologically comply. I will get back to that later, but in the case of the US the "captive audience" could be found in the US education system. "That's" where the Stockholm Syndrome effect is being generated.

The obvious difference between a socialist, government-controlled and free-market capitalist economy is that the latter is based on the participants' free will. Historical evidence (not having a single exception) has shown that Sir Winston Churchill --again- was right: ***"Socialism is a philosophy of failure, the creed of ignorance, and the gospel of envy, its inherent virtue is the equal sharing of misery."*** Having lived under a center of a socialist world for 32 years, I can attest: the socialistic system is designed to provide only the basic needs to the participants; "no one has a lot, but everybody has something." That's what Churchill means under "equal sharing of misery." The capitalist, free-market system's core function is that the government provides basic services and the rest is up to the participants. In fact, the less function the government has is, the more beneficial to the people. As Ronald Reagan said: ***"The nine most terrifying words in the English language are: 'I'm from the Government, and I'm here to help.'"*** Although it is a cliché, the fundamental difference between socialism/capitalism is the "will I give you a fish or a fishing pole?"

There is no place, time, structure (small or large), or system, where socialism didn't prove to be a complete failure anywhere around the world, at any point of time in human history. At the same time, various degrees of the capitalist system have succeeded every time. Granted, between the late 18th century and the mid 20th century, layers of the capitalistic society were significantly disadvantaged within the system (not blacks only; there was a huge resentment against Italians around the end of the 19th century). However, the system in its entirety always had a positive, forward motion, while the socialist system is static in its entirety and, by definition, decays after it uses up all of its internal resources. It is safe to argue that at the system level, capitalism has a chance to be successful (based on the participants' behavior), while socialism, by its design, decays without a chance even to stay as a low level but functioning system. This is just a historical fact. But to understand all this, you must have a historically correct education, which the US education system has given up providing approximately 30-40 years ago.

As a direct result of that, a Gallup poll published on May 20, 2019 reads: *"43% of Americans say socialism would be a good thing for the country...About four in 10 Americans are accepting of some form of socialism or socialist policies, and Democrats currently have a more positive view of socialism than capitalism. In addition, the April survey found that 47% of Americans say they would vote for a socialist candidate for president. While that figure represents nearly half of the U.S. adult population, even higher percentages say they would vote for an atheist (58%) or Muslim (60%) presidential candidate...."*

SOCIALISM YOU MINDLESSLY WALK INTO

Let's see if you really understand what the system you so enthusiastically support will mean in real terms for you and your family in your daily life. You better pay close attention to this, because this is hard-core factual.

- The Fair Housing Act (FHA) of 1968 was passed to prevent housing discrimination, such as financing, renting etc.
- In 2015, Obama wanted to take control of the suburbs (when people move into the suburbs, they take their tax money away from the city). Obama's HUD expanded FHA into "Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH)" that vastly expanded FHA's sphere of control.
- AFFH's control was extended to the suburbs' zoning laws, placement of transportation (roads), establishing school districts, law enforcement, economy, commercial activities, parks and other areas
- It also established a Regional Governments' Consortia (layer between the federal and local governments). Its mandate is to remove decision making power from all members of the consortia and "equalize social, racial, and economic parameters within the consortia". In other words, if Dallas and Collin counties had the "consortia", then it would order Collin county to accept a defined number of low income, minority and other people into Collin county in order to "equalize the parameters" with Dallas. This would certainly affect school districts, commercial, zoning and other parameters. The consortia would also have the right to take tax money from Collin county and use it in Dallas county.
- Under AFFH, the county can be (and will be) forced to change zoning, forced to build any number of high density low income housing, change size and composition of schools, etc.
- As per AFFH, getting counties on the hook with HUD is to get the county apply for a Federal Housing Grant. The obvious flip side of this is "let's not apply for a HUD grant to keep the federal government out."
- **Donald Trump eliminated AFFH**
- ***Biden's plan*** is to modify AFFH this way: *if the suburb doesn't comply with AFFH, they not only won't receive the Federal Housing Grant (which is their decision to apply for), but **will not receive funds to build and repair roads ("Surface Transportation Grant")**. The difference between the Federal Housing Grant and the Surface Transportation Grant is that counties are paying into the latter one, and the federal government has had –up to Biden- no right to withhold it. This will change under Biden. **The "Biden AFFH" is what socialist systems do; take central control of the individuals' asset.***

You will say Good Bye to your quiet and safe suburb neighborhoods, schools, parks and other kid localities; Uncle Joe will take them all. You don't have to believe me, just read up on what happened in Westchester County, N.Y., where Obama did a dry run on AFFH.

This is socialism pure and simple. You better –for the first time in a long time- think about what you are doing on November 3rd, 2020.

SYMPTOMS

The list of “willing suspension of thinking” symptoms is practically endless; as I argued earlier, almost all major events between 2006-2020 (including the Obama election) are a “result” of a process, not an instigator. Let us walk through some examples to see how people gave up their thinking.

MeeToo

I will be the first to say that should a “molestation” of any kind be proven; the guilty person should go to jail. For a long time. While the thesis is a valuable one, MeeToo used it to destroy people's lives by settling old scores. Harvey Weinstein would be a great example of someone who belongs in jail. Actually, his case (and almost his alone) also proved the complete hypocrisy and indecency of the entire movement. Weinstein assaulted a woman, who went to the FBI and got a wire put on her. She then went back to Weinstein and recorded the deed. In other words, the “I couldn't do anything at the time, so I remained silent for 30 years” is something you can force yourself into becoming a “performing clown.”

Along those lines, the best theater director whom Hungary had ever seen was utterly destroyed by an actress with the claim (listen to this, because you cannot make things like this up) that *“21 years ago he molested me in his car every Thursday for two years when he drove me home.”* The question is: exactly how many times would you allow yourself to be molested before the idea of “let's not get into his car” surfaced in your hollow skull? On this “proof,” and this proof alone, his fifty-year long career was destroyed—something to think about.

Americans didn't do any better of a job; as a matter of fact, they did worse. And far more often. Nothing can portray the completely idiotic process and the public's herd mentality, unwilling to think mindset than the country's best film director, Woody Allen's case. I read absolutely everything about Mia Farrow's “Woody Allen molested my daughter” fantasy case. Here is the deal based on **data and fact**:

In the public's “mind”:

- It didn't matter that six different investigations (multiple lie detector tests and all) by city and state agencies (practically everybody who had anything to do with a case like this) concluded in written decisions that Woody could not have done it, and did not do it;
- It didn't matter that some of the same agencies concluded: Mia Farrow was coaching her daughter;
- It didn't matter that even siblings reported the coaching;
- It didn't matter that Farrow wasn't willing to take one single lie detector test;

If you bothered to **read** the materials and forced yourself to **think**, you can have one of three conclusions:

- a. Woody is clean, and Farrow is lying
- b. Woody is guilty, and here are your facts and data supporting your take
- c. Woody is guilty, you have facts to prove it. You also have proof that he had a,b,c, known contacts at x,y,z, agencies, they gave him a pass which you can prove; in other words, you **do know** Woody rigged the system, therefore, you do not trust the justice system

If you didn't read the material, the only conclusion you could make is: “I don't know.” If you want to be civil about it, you might say, “I don't know, one thing is for sure: no one has come up with any evidence yet.”

ONE THING YOU CANNOT DO (unless you wish to be part of the “Mindless, Unable To Think, Herd Mentality Morons” group): no, you have no evidence, you haven't read anything, but you are convinced Woody is guilty... –which is what? 70%-80% of today's American society.

Hoax!!

While we're at it, let me ask you this: what is the difference between Woody's case and the “Donald Trump is a Russian agent” case? Let me tell you what: zero. Nada. But there is a common denominator: the brainwashed

public, trained not to think. It's the people. Just like in the Woody Allen case, there was no evidence in the Russian hoax, the Ukraine hoax, or the Kavanaugh hoax. Through 3.5 years of investigation, through Mueller, through Adam Schiff repeatedly lying that he had "clear evidence," and no one on the "Destroyers" side demanded concrete proof. That's what? 65 million Americans? As of today, actual facts have surfaced. As one example, here is the N.Y. Times headline and FBI's Peter Strzok's email on the same day:

N.Y. Times 2/14/17:

"Trump Campaign Aides Had Repeated Contacts With Russian Intelligence"

FBI's Peter Strzok 2/14/17 (on the same day)

"We have not seen evidence of any individuals affiliated with the Trump team in contact with IOs (Intelligent Officers). Again we are unaware of ANY Trump advisors engaging in conversations with Russian intelligence officials."

The two quotes demonstrate that at the beginning of the 3.5 year-long hoax, the FBI was fully, beyond any shadow of a doubt, aware that Trump and his team had nothing to do with the Russians. Then came Comey, Strzok, McCabe, Schiff, Rosenstein, Yates, Clapper, Brennan and others; roughly a dozen people Obama and Biden included (as per the 1/6/17 meeting notes show). They were on their way to a Coup d'état against a duly elected president. Isn't this, otherwise, called "High Treason?" Should we hang people for this? Like those who I just named here?

Has the N.Y. Times ever apologized? No.

Has anyone demanded an apology from the Times? No.

Has anyone demanded Adam Schiff to immediately resign? No.

Has anyone demanded for Comey, Strzok, McCabe, (Obama, Biden...) and a list of others to be prosecuted? No.

The country spent 3.5 years worth of agony, and all (I mean: ALL) actors across the political, media, academia, law enforcement spectrums are in the same place they were before (with the two exceptions of Strzok and McCabe). ***Is there anyone in the "65 million" camp in America pissed off to have been played for 3.5 years, like a fiddle? No. They keep supporting the country's "Destroyers" and, as an extension ruining their own children's lives.***

Black Lives Matter

There are a lot of obvious problems with this anti-American, Marxist gang. The lightest one is their name; this country has been forced to tag blacks as "African Americans" for a decade; --then came "Black Lives Matter, "African American Lives Matter" is a mouthful. Ever since BLM has been in the media, almost the entire media has gotten off tagging blacks as "African Americans." Did you notice it? But just think about the virtuous, high-brow, looking-down-on-you mentality over the last decade by the Social Liberal crowd; how they distinguished themselves giving the "proper" name to people; ---until it became inconvenient.

The far larger problem --still baked into the name- that "Black" lives matter is about as racist (by singling one particular race out) as Nazi Germany's anti-Semitism was. They could have named themselves All Lives Matter that would have carried a lot of weight and good vibe. Although the worshipping "Destroyer" community tries to paint lipstick on this pig, the founders and early BLM members knew what they were doing. Among other people with stellar backgrounds, Susan Rosenberg has been associated with BLM; "that" Rosenberg, a member of the May 19th Communist Organization (M19CO), that provided support to the Black Liberation Army. She was involved in organizing Assata Shakur's prison escape, who killed a state trooper, then fled to Cuba (and was the first woman to get on the FBI's Most Wanted List). Rosenberg was also involved in a Brink truck robbery and was finally arrested in 1984, having a cache of explosives. She was sentenced to 58 years (!!) in prison, until (who else) Bill Clinton commuted the sentence in 2001.

Now she is a stellar contributor to BLM. There are other stellar people around BLM: Patrisse Cullors, who was trained by Eric Mann, a communist revolutionary, member of Weather Underground; or Alicia Garza a communist revolutionary who admires Assata Shakur.

The further problem is that black lives absolutely, positively do not matter to BLM, with the exception of their own and to the detriment of any other race. If any (I mean: ***any***) black life mattered to this gang, they would be patrolling many parts of Chicago and other cities. As per the Attorney General, there are 7,500 blacks murdered every single year; 90% of them by other blacks. Do ***those*** black lives matter?

BLM's manifest as to "What We Believe" is not much more than a pack of lies. Here are a few gems:

- Their "We disrupt the Western-prescribed nuclear family structure requirement by supporting each other as extended families and "villages" that collectively care for one another, especially our children, to the degree that mothers, parents, and children are comfortable" *is the anti-thesis of the family structure that is fundamental in any (not only western) society*
- None of their "We acknowledge, respect, and celebrate differences and commonalities" *has ever been portrayed.*
- Their "We work vigorously for freedom and justice for Black people and, by extension, all people" *statement could have been argued if the organization's name was All Lives Matter.*
- "We practice empathy. We engage comrades with the intent to learn about and connect with their contexts." *—by using baseball bats, rocks, laser guns and Molotov cocktails*
- "We embody and practice justice, liberation, and peace in our engagements with one another" *—as we showed in Minneapolis, Portland, Seattle, New York and elsewhere.*

If you take BLM at their own words this is what you will find:

- "Capital can and should be used to fund the independence from capitalism and transition into the community. But Capital and capitalism shouldn't be the goal to fix the community.... Black dollar is great... but let's only look at money as a tool to attain liberation through the COMMUNITY and not capitalism." (Tanya Faison, co-founder BLM Sacramento, January 31, 2020)
- "The ONLY thing that will fix this is a REVOLUTION. Elections aren't revolutions." (Tanya Faison, co-founder BLM Sacramento, March 5, 2020)
- "Ain't no kneeling ass pig gonna stop the revolution!" (BLM Sacramento June 1, 2020)
- "Stop getting sad and get angry. We need to fight" (BLM Sacramento May 7, 2020)
- "DEFUND. DISARM. DISMANTLE. ABOLISH. #DefundThePolice #BlackLivesMatter". (BLM Toronto June 19, 2020)
- "Get off our land white man!" (BLM Memphis, July 4, 2020)
- "We absolutely have to deal with the way in which capitalism exploits us, and the way in which capitalism exploits Black people in particular." (Melina Abdullah, co-founder BLM Los Angeles, June 23, 2020)
- "If this country doesn't give us what we want, then we will burn down this system and replace it. All right? I just want black liberation, and black sovereignty. By any means necessary." (Hank Newsome, BLM activist, June 25, 2020)
- "This is an uprising. A rebellion. A revolt." (Melina Abdullah, co-founder BLM Los Angeles, May 31, 2020)

(The first one on the list is probably the stupidest, although it is a head-to-head contest throughout.)

Now remember: these nice people representing Black Lives Matter have been busy raising issues in connection with "police brutality" (that is 0.8%, while thousands of blacks are killed by other blacks each year)

On August 30, 2020, Aaron Danielson wearing the cap of the conservative-libertarian group, Patriot Prayer, was killed in Portland. *"I am not sad that a fucking fascist died tonight,.. "He was a fucking Nazi. Our community held its own and took out the trash."* --a woman shouted into a megaphone at a BLM-Antifa gathering after Aaron Danielson was shot dead nearby (reported by the N.Y. Post).

There are two issues in connection with this tragedy:

- "Fascism" does not start with bodies being piled up on street corners. That's where fascism ends. But the early stage of fascism is still just that: fascism, which is what BLM and Antifa represent.
- The idea of "kiss up to them and they will leave me alone" has throughout history never worked and never will. These fascists will come for you and your family too. There are few absolute qualities in this world, and --as an example- our "First Amendment Right" is one of them; you start to restrict it, you place the entire concept on a steep slope. Fascism is the anti-thesis of that; you cannot let "a little bit of fascism be practiced" --because you will place it on the same slope where the First Amendment slid down.

It could be successfully argued: as a result of our society's numerical balance tipping toward the "Destroyers" side, the First Amendment Right no longer fully exists in America, while fascism in its early form does.

It is also alarming that BLM's funding arm (receiving donations) is "ActBlue." When you dig into ActBlue's financials it turns out they spent approx.. a billion dollars on democratic candidates' campaigns, such as Biden, Sanders, Warren and others. But listen to this, and listen good: ***not one single democratic candidate on the top ten of their list who received money from "support of Black Lives Matter" is a person of any (never mind black) color.*** In other words, the donated money to support blacks and black causes is channeled to white candidates. This is a scam. In short, BLM was founded on hate, operates on lies and vastly misappropriates the raised funds.

Besides all of this (and a lot more), BLM is being funded by more than 200 large corporations in the US. They have kissed their ring; athletes, media, arts and are falling over each other to swear their allegiance to them. ***Is it possible to do all this if you just used even the tiny fraction of your brain?***

Just to prove the thesis, on August 11, 2020, twenty-one blacks were shot in Washington DC, the nations' capital. Twenty-one. BLM didn't do a protest march; there was no (anti-gun, anti-violence) protest at all, CNN and NBC did not even mention what happened. They want you to know, black lives matter; what they don't mention: to NBC, CNN, BLM and other race hustlers, is that black lives matter, so long as those lives fit their sick political profile.

The Jews Vis-À-Vis Obama And Trump

During his 2008 campaign, Obama all but declared his hatred for Israel and the Jews in general, his love for the Palestinians and Muslims (particularly Shia Muslims). Yet, 83% of the Jews voted for him.

Came the "Arab Spring" in December 2010, which Obama used to replace all (but Jordan) country leaders with an Israel hater, radical Muslim administration. By mid-2013, the only Israel friendly country hanging by a fingernail was Jordan. Otherwise, Israel was encircled by anti-Israel administrations. But something happened on July 3 that year. General Al Sisi threw the Muslim Brotherhood out of power and became Egypt's leader. Now: no one will ever prove it either way, but from what happened between 2010-2013, one can safely argue that Obama's objective was to eliminate Israel. This thesis seems to be supported by the fact of how Obama sold 200 Abrams tanks to the Muslim Brotherhood government in Egypt. It happens to be a tank that can operate on sand (like Sinai between Israel and Egypt).

It is also safe to argue that Egyptians who woke up to the Muslim Brotherhood disaster prevented Obama from executing the "grand plan." Either way, in the case of American Jews, we didn't talk about a "life standard" problem; their homeland, their family's very life was in existential danger. ***Yet ... on election day in 2012, 63% of the American Jews still voted for Obama. Again, because this is important: American election day was in November 2012, the height of Israel's danger.***

Then came Trump, who was the polar, diagonal opposite of Obama. Being "the" best and strongest supporter of Israel, of the Jewish people's last 100-year history. He moved The US Embassy to Jerusalem, has been a first-class supporter of Israel and –to everyone's shock- signed a UAE/Israel Peace Agreement. He also sent the Justice Department after Yale, Harvard and other schools that have been discriminating against Jews.

Now understand this: The "Israel" issue for the Jews is not a mere "war/peace/where-do-we-live" issue; it is life and death. It is "the only home on the entire planet which –if lost- will not come back for hundreds of years. The world's Jews are Israel. "The" Israel, which was threatened by Obama, and has been protected by Trump.

Guess who the Jews (mindlessly) love to this day; Obama.

Guess who the Jews (mindlessly) hate: Donald Trump.

You make your own conclusion of people's IQ capacity.

Burning The Country Down

I really don't want to spend too much time on this topic because it is obvious to anyone who has an IQ over the shoe size he/she wears. It has been demonstrated on TV repeatedly how politicians (mayors, governors, D.C. based people like Pelosi) qualify these criminal riots as "peaceful." This in itself should cause a sizable uproar in the respective community guaranteeing these people will never be reelected. It hasn't happened yet. And it won't happen in the next 50 years. I'd like to concentrate on Portland, OR for a minute. Portland has proudly (or: stupidly; it is a matter of viewpoint) been a "progressive hub." A place where Antifa freely operated. It has been going on for years. Yet: using the same flowchart I described above, everybody in Portland had his/her "reason"

not to challenge the establishment. ***People agreed, understood, wanted to get along, complied, learned-to-live-with-it, cheered, supported, voted for it; no one was thinking. Portland has been burning for 62 days. This is how you walk into socialism and lose your country without noticing it until the very end.***

“Systemic Racism”

It took a lot of effort, but the American public was spoon-fed (some might say the better definition is “force-fed”) the idea of “America is a racist country, but not only that: we have “systemic racism.”

Again, as in all the examples in the “Symptoms” section cited, it has no factual basis. Police kill more unarmed whites than blacks, while the number of black-on-black homicide is fluctuating at the approximate multiplier of 300x-600x annually. Besides the obvious disparity between data and liberal fiction, people are not bothered by the fact that “systemic racism” consists of the word “system.” “System” such as how black votes were tied to a certain education level in Mississippi in the '60s. “System” that creates rules and regulations to include/exclude a specific group. That is how “system” works. Based on –again- historical data, if we had “systemic racism,” that would be the dumbest, least effective system mankind has ever devised. How is it that our “systemic racism” allowed Obama, Holder, Susan Rice, Colin Powell, Michael Espy, Ron Brown, Alexis Herman, Michael Steele, Ben Carson and a long list of others to reach the level they did? I mean, such a “system” could have eliminated their chance to get near to even run for various positions (just like Yale pretty much eliminates your chance to get in if you are Jewish; that's “systemic” racism). Besides the mentioned black individuals above, a large segment of our judges, police captains, officers, detectives, district attorneys, mayors, city council members are black. Some “systemic racism” this is.

But, people on the street (like parrots) will tell you: “America has systemic racism.”

...Then Came Corona

Someone someday, probably in the next 20-30 years, will write a Ph. D. dissertation about how people behaved while the virus was present. I don't want to (re) analyze the pandemic (I did it many times), but there has been nothing, probably in the last century, that held a larger and clearer mirror before the society to understand how mindless, unable to think, love to follow orders, that various (among them: American) societies have become.

People were ordered to:

- Stay at home; they did
- Don't congregate in churches but feel free to do so in liquor stores

People were advised:

- That wearing masks is dangerous
- That wearing masks is mandatory
- That “the virus spreads by touching surfaces”
- That “virus doesn't spread by touching surfaces but it's in the air”
- That going outside in fresh air is dangerous
- That they should not shake hands with anyone...
- ...but if they found a potential sex partner on line that's OK (Fauci)
- That the “coronavirus is nothing to worry about” (Fauci in January)
- That the coronavirus is a serious problem (Fauci in March)
- That if the virus is in the air, it can infect you through your eyes
- That no ... this point wasn't countered, --it was simply “not discussed” like it didn't exist

That no one asked the series of questions of:

- If the mask protects, why do we need the “social distance?”
- If we have the social distance, why do we need the mask?
- If the mask protects those who want to be protected, and we have the social distance, why must everybody wear a mask? Aren't those who wear it protected in the first place?
- If the virus can infect people through their eyes, what's your advice?

That vaccine (once they discovered it) will cure the problem (this was while “Dr. Fauci” believed that vaccines won't be available for a long time);

That even if we have vaccines, it will only be “40%-50% effective” (coming from the same “Dr. Fauci, once he knew: vaccines will probably be available by the end of 2020)

The German TV announced on September 9th: “Germany is going to institute more regulations to prevent corona because over the last week more people tested positive. The number of hospitalizations and deaths remained low because the newly infected people are young and they have less or no symptoms.” Now stop for a second and let’s think of this:

- Hospitalization remains “low”
- Death count remains “low”
- All new patients have no or mild symptoms

Aren’t these three parameters the ones that everyone calls “herd immunity”?? The ones we so much need? Aren’t these new “regulations” slowing the achievement of “herd immunity”?

Don’t get me wrong, I am just as much mourning the 186,000 deaths as anyone; on the other hand, I also often think about the roughly 52,000,000 who lost jobs, business, money, home, investments, kids’ college funds and other assets; I am concerned by the fact that the N.Y. Suicide Hotline’s load increased by 1,200% between March and May; that people became drug addicts, alcoholics; that child and spouse abuse have gone through the roof. But I am most concerned about the fact that “intellectual coverage” of the 52 million’s situation has been 5% if that much, and “non-intellectual coverage” (e.g. media, which in most cases unintelligent by definition) has been practically non-existent.

And people believed and keep believing all this

And people are driving their own car, sitting alone in it wearing a mask

And people willingly stayed locked in their home like on house arrest

And this was all OK by the people

That the Teachers Union blackmailed the US government saying, that they won’t go back to work until certain (what else??) financial demands are met.

And no one is rioting against the Teachers Union.

The entire society has been just watching this half political stunt, half flea circus, -like sheep. No one bothers to understand that Asian flu (1957-58) killed between 70,000-110,000 in the US (0.04%-0.07% of population), Hong Kong flu (1968-70) killed approximately 100,000 (0.05% of population). Corona has produced 186,000 deaths (0.055%). There are various estimates as to how much the casualty number should be reduced by the fact that many people died “with corona” not “of corona” (but were reported as such for pure financial reasons to the hospitals). Estimates ranging between 30%-50%, which would drive the “0.055% of population” a lot lower, potentially lower than the annual death count by flu. Be it as it may, neither in 1957, nor in 1968-70 did we do statewide lockdowns, school closings, lost millions of businesses, and completely ruined tens of millions of lives; instead, we put Apollo 11 on the Moon, and had Woodstock in 1969.

Yet no one asks any questions. The fact that society has become mindless, forgot “self reliance,” forgot “own thinking” and accepted the “I will tell you how to live your life and will protect you in exchange” mode. That’s the problem, not the corona.

Seattle

On July 7, 2020, the N.Y. Post published a piece titled “When ‘diversity training’ is all about feeding racism.”

“Last month, the city of Seattle’s Office of Civil Rights sent an e-mail inviting “white city employees” to attend a training session on “Interrupting Internalized Racial Superiority and Whiteness,” a program designed to help white workers examine their “complicity in ... white supremacy” and “interrupt racism in ways that are accountable to black, indigenous and people of color.” Hoping to learn more, I submitted a public-records request for all documentation related to the training. The results are disturbing. At the start, the trainers explain that white people have internalized a sense of racial superiority, which has made them unable to access their “humanity” and caused “harm and violence” to minorities. The trainers claim that “individualism,” “perfectionism,” “intellectualization” and “objectivity” are all vestiges of this internalized racism and must be abandoned in favor

of social-justice principles....Once the diversity trainers have established this basic conceptual framework, they encourage white employees to “practice self-talk that affirms [their] complicity in racism” and work on “undoing [their] own whiteness.” As part of this process, white employees must abandon their “white-normative behavior” and learn to let go of their “comfort,” “physical safety,” “social status” and “relationships with some other white people.”....” (<https://nypost.com/2020/07/09/when-diversity-training-is-all-about-feeding-racism/>)

And People are OK with this too. Like sheep.

Portland

As far as the core of “America lost its Thesis bit by bit in four decades” argument is concerned, there is no better proof than the WSJ article on August 31, 2020, titled “Portland’s Half-Century of Ruinous Leadership,” written by Mark Hemingway, a third-generation Oregonian. In part it reads:

“...As a third-generation Oregonian and former Portland resident, I’ve been bombarded with questions over the past few months about why one of America’s whitest cities has descended into civic madness following the police killing of George Floyd. Violent radicalism had been flourishing in the city for years before the rest of the country paid attention, and Mayor Ted Wheeler’s feckless leadership is no anomaly. The situation in Portland has been enabled by 50 years of political leadership that has been as corrupt and depraved as it has been “progressive.”

Although this article doesn’t dig deep into the “why,” it contains most elements of what the last four decades have produced: approximately 65% of BLM is white, mostly educated, more than half of them young women, using an issue far from their home to lute, burn cities down, create chaos, while incapable lunatics masquerading as “city (or state) leaders” looking on.

Issues In General

It would take an entire book to analyze the background of all examples we have been seeing in our country and correlate them with the willingly suspended thinking by half of the US population; the definition of border, border wall, defund the police, cancel culture, global government, global warming, UN, green new deal, the fact that declared America haters such as Ilhan Omar, Rashida Tlaib are voted into the US House of Representatives (...) could be analyzed, but that would be way beyond the perimeters of this paper. The mile-long list includes the fact of James Clapper; at that time, DNI was lying (multiple times) under oath at a Senate hearing on national TV. You add this with the undisputed fact (at the time of voting) how Hillary Clinton destroyed state’s evidence under subpoena (cell phones with a hammer, bleaching hard drives...) yet no one demanded that Clapper should be in jail and yet, -Hillary Clinton received sizable votes in 2016.

You must ask: **“How can a person completely give up thinking?”**

WHAT HAPPENED?

If we established that a large part (more than half) of the American society has completely gotten off thinking, the question should be asked, “why?” “What happened?” “How did it happen?”

There are several independent (looking) components, but all have one common denominator: **“Education.”** It is a long process, requires strategy, foresight and patience; you need to think two generations ahead if you want to destroy the country’s economical, social and political systems, but –through education- it can be done. You consciously need to decide: “this is the plan.” The target population is different at the get-go, they have their First Amendment guaranteed opinion, thinking, viewpoints, life experience and interest. Your objective is to create an unable to think, mindless, “march on command” herd of sheep in a way that they won’t notice what has been done to them. It is not easy.

Understand this: 90% of your target population in the society whom you want to force accepting your thesis is good, well-meaning, mostly educated people. And you have to get them to subscribe to your thesis. They basically will have to separate their new thinking from their natural logic and life experience. This paper is far from wanting to be “humorous,” but the best visual example for how “mentally separated” 60% of the population

has become in the US, as of today, is when the wife comes home, finds her husband with a young woman in bed and the husband complains: “do you believe me or your eyes?” This is precisely what is going on in the country in all (and many more) cited cases.

The core of the issue (and this paper really) is that free-thinking, freedom-loving but law-abiding, self-reliant people are hard-wired against the theory of “socialism” that dictates “march on order, think what I have told you to think, accept that divergent thinking draws punishment and rely on me; in exchange, I will protect you and take care of you.” The “American Thesis” is fundamentally anti-socialist.

In reality, in order to get the desired outcome, you must devise a self-generating cycle; the more people you get away from self-reliance and thinking, the more they will want socialism; in turn, the more people subscribed to socialism, the more they will support your brainwashing structure.

As I stated above, at the start 90% of the “material” (American society) the socialist liberals had to work with used to belong to the “anti-socialist” camp. The task was to change the ratio to “50% prefers socialism.” Based on studies and polls, America is almost there. The step-by-step program of brainwashing has been including:

- Anti-Trump books in kindergartens...
- ...through “there are two winners” (no losers) in a one-on-one tennis match in elementary school...
- ...and “Johnny cannot wear a Fourth of July T-shirt on July 3rd in school, because it might offend some immigrants” in high schools...
- ...through “micro aggression” and “safe places” in universities (perfectly fitting the definition of “I will protect you”)...
- ...all the way to participating in a Black Lives Matter looting program 2,000 miles away from a place where one cop killed one black person (and the cop should go to jail for it)

It is not easy to reprogram an entire society, but it could be done. It obviously requires a mentally paralyzed opposition (the “GOP”, although we should have replaced the “G” –standing for “Grand”- a long time ago). It is noteworthy how not a single “democrat” as far as I can remember has ever uttered the phrase of “The American Voters Are Clever Enough To Figure Out <<<XYZ Issue>>>.” Never. No one, not once. This is a pure republican delusion. Democrats know full well that 60% of the society can be, like a herd of sheep, ordered to any political direction. And Democrats have been counting on this for decades. This is why Chuck Schumer, Pelosi and other gang members freely lie into the camera, apparently, not caring; there is a videotape from two weeks ago when you said the diagonal opposite.

I mean, they murdered people in “CHOP” yet the Mayor of Seattle kept insisting it is was “Summer Love Fest” and people didn’t run the mayor out of town. By the same talking, you can hate or love Obama and/or Trump; but Obama did say “America’s greatest days are behind her,” did say “it will take a magic wand to bring jobs back,” did say “the 1.5% GDP is the new norm,” and did say “you can keep your doctor.” Trump did bring jobs back, did elevate the Rust Belt, did produce a much higher GDP, and has been an absolute believer in America’s greatness. Under Obama the US Air Force had to take components out of aircrafts in museums (!!) because they didn’t have them (as a result how Obama destroyed the US military); under Trump the US military has been thriving. Under Obama the black unemployment grew; under Trump it was at a historically low level. These are just facts. Again, it has nothing to do with “who do you like or favor.” ***Do these facts make you think?? If not, why not? Have you thought about that?***

Once you established a “pre-K to Ph.D.” education system that brainwashes people within, the rest is easy. You only have to work on the “program” through two generations; those two will assure that leaders in politics, financing, media, economy, industry and –most of all- education will represent the same point and –as a result- the number of brainwashed people coming out of the system will exponentially grow.

The destruction of the US education goes back to the 1960s when the French revolution style anarchism was put on full display in 1968, during the Democratic National Convention in Chicago. The convention was held after the assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr., Bobby Kennedy, and riots across America in more than 100 cities. The preceding five years (between 1963-1968) were a total (democratic run) disaster in Vietnam. The “Yippie” movement that planned a youth festival in Chicago to coincide with the convention was infiltrated by

social-communist gangster type organizations such as Students for a Democratic Society and the National Mobilization Committee to End the War in Vietnam (contemporary equivalents of BLM and Antifa). The presence and activities of these groups induced violence, street fights, and destruction (similar to the 2020's Portland, Chicago, Seattle, Minneapolis, N.Y., Washington D.C...). Eight people were charged after "Chicago." Six out of the eight have a practically identical social-communist and anarchist background (contemporary "colleagues" from Ocasio-Cortez, Omar, Tlaib and other like-minded lunatics). These people and their contemporary colleagues (Bill Ayers, Bernadine Dohrn, Sol Alinsky, Richard Cloward, and Frances Fox Piven, among others) were the forerunners of the social communist liberal plan to destroy America, American exceptionalism, and bring everyone down to the lowest common denominator.

They used the national conscience that was scarred after what happened in the 60s. That provided a platform to various social entities (most of all: education and media) to tilt to the left as a "potential cure" and to heal the problems (sounds familiar from 2020??). "Those who do not learn history are doomed to repeat it." –said Santayana, and we are not learning.

The significant difference between the 60s and 2020s is that while the 60s were organized by socialist gangsters, such as Ayers, their criminal activity had at least some rational contrast; as to what they were against: Vietnam, JFK, Bobby Kennedy and MLK assassination, KKK, the Neshoba County murders and others. Granted, they left the realm of "resistance" and became criminals and terrorists, but they had a rational contrast.

The 2020 "riots" supported by the entire "democratic" establishment, education, sport, political structure, media in general, social media, in particular, are about nothing but looting, crime, anti-Americanism, and in the end: a pure power grab. They hate Donald Trump for one reason and one reason only: because he stands in their way of grabbing power. Their time is ripe; while in the 60s (especially by the end of the 60s), close to the entire society (over the age of 35) was against the socialist delusion; there is 40% of the American public in 2020 that prefers socialism. ***The delta comes from four decades worth of "education."***

It could be generally stated that up until 2008, 80% of all America's problems and issues could be traced back to Jimmy Carter and his disastrous presidency (an interesting view of Carter by Ronald Kessler, his security detail: *"A complete phony who would portray one picture of himself to public and very different in private, e.g. would be shown carrying his own luggage, but the suitcases were always empty. He kept empty ones just for photo-ops. He wanted people to see him as pious and a non-drinker, but he and his family drank alcohol a lot! He had disdain for the Secret Service and was very irresponsible with the "football" with the nuclear codes. He didn't think it was a big deal and would keep military aides at a great distance. Often did not acknowledge the presence of Secret Service personnel assigned to serve him."*). But nothing compared in damage than the establishment of the Department of Education; by doing so, it created the US education's federalization. The today's status (all the way, down to the riots and looting) is the direct result of the established federal education system. Without that, Democratic socialists would have no tool to spread the liberal cancer across the country from K-12 to Ph.D.

By the early '80s (a short 12 years after Chicago), there were signs that something has seriously gone wrong in education. In April of 1983, President Reagan's National Commission on Excellence in Education task force wrote a white paper on current status titled "A Nation At Risk."

"Our Nation is at risk. Our once unchallenged preeminence in commerce, industry, science, and technological innovation is being overtaken by competitors throughout the world.the educational foundations of our society are presently being eroded by a rising tide of mediocrity that threatens our very future as a Nation and a people. What was unimaginable a generation ago has begun to occur--others are matching and surpassing our educational attainments.

.....If an unfriendly foreign power had attempted to impose on America the mediocre educational performance that exists today, we might well have viewed it as an act of war. As it stands, we have allowed this to happen to ourselves....We have, in effect, been committing an act of unthinking, unilateral educational disarmament..... Our society and its educational institutions seem to have lost sight of the basic purposes of schooling, and of the high expectations and disciplined effort needed to attain them.

- *About 13 percent of all 17-year-olds in the United States can be considered functionally illiterate. Functional illiteracy among minority youth may run as high as 40 percent.*

- *Average achievement of high school students on most standardized tests is now lower than 26 years ago when Sputnik was launched.*
- **Over half the population of gifted students do not match their tested ability with comparable achievement in school.**
- *The College Board's Scholastic Aptitude Tests (SAT) demonstrate a virtually unbroken decline from 1963 to 1980. Average verbal scores fell over 50 points and average mathematics scores dropped nearly 40 points.*
- ...
- *Between 1975 and 1980, remedial mathematics courses in public 4-year colleges increased by 72 percent and now constitute one-quarter of all mathematics courses taught in those institutions.*
- *Business and military leaders complain that they are required to spend millions of dollars on costly remedial education and training programs in such basic skills as reading, writing, spelling, and computation. The Department of the Navy, for example, reported to the Commission that one-quarter of its recent recruits cannot read at the ninth grade level, the minimum needed simply to understand written safety instructions. Without remedial work they cannot even begin, much less complete, the sophisticated training essential in much of the modern military....*

....Another analyst, Paul Copperman, has drawn a sobering conclusion. Until now, he has noted: each generation of Americans has outstripped its parents in education, in literacy, and in economic attainment. For the first time in the history of our country, the educational skills of one generation will not surpass, will not equal, will not even approach, those of their parents..... It is our conviction that the essential raw materials needed to reform our educational system...."

Let us all remember: this material was written in April 1983. Since then, the general education has kept sinking and the political brainwashing has gone through the roof.

The "Democrats" realized their only chance to solidify their power is to change the ratio between the population blocks subscribing to the Pro and Anti-American Thesis in the country. The "Grand Plan" had recruited a strong ally: the American mainstream media. While media outlets were (more or less) there in the 1960s to report "news" they had become the bullhorn of liberal socialism by 1990. This function had changed again around early 2008 from "selectively reporting" to "fabricating" news; by today, it has become a solid "lie about anything that will serve our purpose" practical sewage canal.

It can be argued that there is a correlating ratio between education quality and mindless criminal violence, looting and killing. Once again, the '60s violence –while criminal- had far less tone of being "mindless;" it set itself up being a factor between "capitalism and the Vietnam war" and "socialism's assumed 'otherness.'" It can also be stated for the '60s; that in those days, maybe 10% of socialism's crimes and horrors were known, understood and exposed. 2020 is 31 years after 1989, yet today's American society knows far less about:

- What happened behind the Iron Curtain,
- What "socialism" actually means, what are the negative sides of the model,
- Why no socialist model has ever succeeded in human history,

than the people in the '60s.

We should not be surprised; in today's America, there is less than 10% of higher-education (universities, colleges) where a student has a chance to use his/her First Amendment Right, occupy a position on the political spectrum based on his/her conviction and graduate with a political and world view based on his/her free-thinking. **The "10%-90%" ratio translates to 530 - 4,800 colleges and universities.** Therein lies the foreseeable destruction of the "American Thesis." Make no mistake about it; there were 13,210,550 people who voted for Bernie Sanders in 2016. We are on our way to disaster.

What really is happening on campuses is well described in the INSIDE Higher Ed issue on 10/3/16. It published statistics (study originally appeared in Eco Journal Watch about Faculty Voter Registration in Economics, History, Journalism, Law, and Psychology) about the voting orientation and preferences of high education faculty members. It partially reads:

“..Our investigation focuses on the Democratic-to-Republican voter registration ratios among faculty in five fields (Economics, History, Journalism/Communications, Law, and Psychology) at 40 leading universities. ***In all, we looked up 7,243 professors and found 3,623 to be registered Democratic and 314 Republican. The overall D:R ratio is 11.5 to 1***

Economics	4.5-1
History	33.5-1
Journalism	20-1
Law	8.6-1
Psychology	17.4-1

Because our set includes Economics, because it includes emeritus faculty, and because it includes a few relatively Republican universities, our findings surely indicate that the ratio of voting-D to voting-R for active humanities/social-science faculty at all U.S. four-year universities has gone up markedly over the past ten years—a conclusion consistent with other recent research (see Abrams 2016a). ***For 2004 such a ratio was estimated at 8 to 1*** (Klein and Stern 2005, 264), ***but now we are comfortable with moving the estimate up to 10 to 1***. The reality is that in most humanities/social-science fields, a Republican is a rare bird....” (bold, italic and underline from me; <https://econjwatch.org/File+download/944/LangbertQuainKleinSept2016.pdf?mimetype=pdf>)

I will get to this in the “Conclusion” section but just stop and think of this:

- 40 leading universities have been pumping out students with a history “knowledge” taught by professors in an environment where you will meet 34 individuals all “democrat” before you will meet the first “republican” (which is not a guarantee that he/she is a “conservative”)
- In 40 leading universities students will be thought journalism in an environment where the D/R ratio is 20-1. Now consider the relatively small size of the media market, and try to size up the influence of the 20-1 ratio

To answer the “What Happened?” question:

- Beginning in the early-mid 1970s, anti-American socialists masquerading as “democrats” started to occupy educational positions, teaching anti-American thesis (or omitting pro-American curricula);
- Their generation had great influence on the next one, born in the mid/late 1960s...
- ...which generation in turn did the same with the generation born in the 1980s...
- ...which spiral not only runs its course in 2020, but the speed of it has been accelerating and the volume of the people coming out of the system has been exponentially growing;
- The huge number of people coming out of this system has been occupying (beside education) financial, media, high-tech, economy, political, sport, and other positions. This led to a data that among Google, Twitter, Facebook, and Apple employees over 98% have been voting democrat; the difference between them is in the remaining 2%

It has led to results such as:

- In 1972 there were 43 US House of Representatives from California; 23D / 20R
In 2016, there were 52; 45D / 7R
- Breitbart’s Visibility Index on Google sunk 99.7% (!!!) between 2017-2020
- Conor Friedersdorf wrote in The Atlantic on February 16, 2020:
*“..Also troubling were the undergraduates who reported having kept an opinion to themselves in the classroom, even though the opinion was related to the class, because they were worried about the potential consequences of expressing it. Almost 68 percent of conservatives censored themselves in this way; along with roughly 49 percent of moderates and 24 percent of liberals....admitted conservatives may face social isolation. **Roughly 92 percent of conservatives said they would be friends with a liberal, and just 3 percent said that they would not have a liberal friend. Among liberals, however, almost a quarter said they would not have a conservative friend**”*
- Madeline Olsen on April 12, 2019 wrote it in the WGBH, Boston titled “When You Feel Your Opinion Is Irrelevant: Political Bias Against Conservatives On College Campuses.” It reads in part: “...According to a recent study by the National Association of Scholars, almost 40 percent of the best liberal arts colleges in the country do not have a single Republican full-time faculty member ... Recently, the student president of the Tulane University chapter of Young Americans for Liberty found the door to his dorm room set on fire in an incident that the group is claiming was politically motivated. Ben Shapiro, a

conservative commentator and author, described his experience visiting the campus of California State University at Los Angeles back in February 2016. Despite being told by the university president that his visit had been canceled in response to anticipated protests, Shapiro decided to go anyway. When he arrived on campus with several security guards, he encountered mayhem in the form of police officers, helicopters, and “rioters ... assaulting students who wanted to enter,” he wrote in a column for the New York Post....This was far from the first time that a college has disinvited a speaker to its campus. In fact, there are several other examples:

- Brown University — Janet Mock
- California State University at Los Angeles — Ben Shapiro
- University of California at Berkeley — Nicholas Dirks
- University of Chicago — Anita Alvarez
- University of Chicago — Bassem Eid
- George Washington University — Action Bronson
- Trinity College — Action Bronson
- Hampshire College — Emily Wong
- University of Pennsylvania — John Brennan
- San Francisco State University — Nir Barkat
- Virginia Tech — Jason Riley
- Williams College — John Derbyshire
- Williams College — Suzanne Venker

and though this issue occurs on both sides... more than 62 percent of the cases are prompted by opposition to the left of the speaker in question, with only 28 percent of the cases being caused by the right. These cases include prominent Republican figures such as Carly Fiorina, Laura Bush and John McCain being disinvited from campus engagements....”

(Ref: <https://www.wgbh.org/news/commentary/2019/04/12/when-you-feel-your-opinion-is-irrelevant-political-bias-against-conservatives-on-college-campuses>)

- On July 4th, 2020, Princeton’s presidential office issued a letter that’s –on its face- one of the most racist (being anti-white) liberal statements I’ve ever read, and it shows how “Destroyers” think of the people of any (none white) color. It is an instruction how Princeton plans to “eliminate racism” by promoting people based on their skin color (what did Martin Luther King say? “...*I have a dream that my four little children will one day live in a nation where they will not be judged by the color of their skin but by the content of their character....*”). The Princeton letter in part reads:
 - “...Elevate more faculty of color to prominent leadership positions within divisions and across the University.... Reward the invisible work done by faculty of color with course relief and summer salary....
 - Faculty of color hired at the junior level should be guaranteed one additional semester of sabbatical on top of the one-in-six provision (and on top of any leave awarded through University or Bicentennial Preceptorships)....
 - Nominate no fewer than two faculty members of color for annual elections to C3, C7, and the Committee on Committees; and, for Divisions I and II, nominate at least one faculty member of color who either holds a joint appointment or who chairs or has chaired an interdepartmental program or center....
 - Recognize that the Department of African American Studies is home to many classes that examine in depth the history, culture, politics, and economics of racism and white supremacy and the resistance to both in this country and beyond. Establish a core distribution requirement focused on the history and legacy of racism in the country and on the campus....
 - Commit fully to anti-racist campus iconography, beginning with the removal of the John Witherspoon statue (erected in 2001) near Firestone Library,....
 - Remove questions about misdemeanors and felony convictions from admissions applications, and all applications to work and/or study at Princeton. In recognition that mass incarceration and predatory policing not only menace the safety of all people of color at the University and their families but also hinder our community’s progress towards racial justice,
 - Enforce repercussions (as in, no hires) for departments that show no progress in appointing faculty of color....”

The New Divide

September 15, 2020

The cited examples are a fraction of the total; the most tragic part is that this liberal mess doesn't even support Marxism, doesn't support socialism; they simply have no knowledge to make those choices and distinctions. They read on Facebook how the "American Thesis is wrong" and they mindlessly, without having any clue or knowledge, are following it. ***It is understandable; they don't have facts, data, no one has ever taught them history or how to think, ask questions and argue; they now will follow the wrong person and the wrong thesis (all the way to their own destruction).*** They have been told by America hater left-wing lunatics like Ilhan Omar: *"It is not to overthrow the government; it is to overthrow the system....As long as our economy and political systems prioritize profit without considering who is profiting, who is being shut out, we will perpetuate this inequality. So we cannot stop at the criminal justice system. We must begin the work of dismantling the whole system of oppression, wherever we find it."* They obey orders not on the ideological basis but out of arrogant, self-centered, unintelligent stupidity. This is the point, where the "they will be the most surprised as to what happened to them" comes in.

As I stated earlier, I spent 32 years under a socialist regime; in it, "inheriting telephone application" (your parents applied for a telephone, didn't receive it in 15-20 years, they died and you now lived in their apartment; by the socialist government's "grace", you didn't need to reapply for the apartment's telephone line; you could inherit the application), paying (full amount) for your car and receive it in 5-7 years (most likely in a different color you paid for), by your bosses order go to march to the main square on May 1st, living in one room of a four room apartment with three other families (bathroom, kitchen are shared), having a red passport (for the communist block) and a blue for western countries whereby you kept the red at home but the blue was physically kept by the local police, and you had to apply for your passport every three years (half of the time refused) to go to the west for 30 days, listening to music approved by the government, having three TV channels, not knowing who is the informant around you (...), --were all considered "normal." You travelled to the west (when you were allowed), and saw a different standard, --yet you accepted the standard your government offered you. ***This is how 95% of the society was socialized. It was the norm. Few people thought otherwise.*** The far end point of this exercise is N. Korea. Probably 98% of the people there think this is normal. It has been proven many times throughout history that entire societies can be socialized in a given way.

My point is very simple: raise one more generation (born after 2010) the way how the US has been operating since Obama; rioting, looting, "destruction defined as protest", disrespecting the police, hating America, BLM and Antifa type gangs on the streets, everyone turns against everyone else (...), are going to be the norm; ***their norm. The society's norm. Because this is the system they grew up in. They won't know otherwise.***

The US climbed out of the 60s-70s, because the then existing generations understood: it is not the norm.

As I argued, we are into the third generation (the one graduated from high-school in 2020) raising predominantly anti-American society.

We raise one more, ---then God help us because no one else will.

CONCLUSION

Yes, we have a new divide in our country. It has nothing to do with race, gender, age, education level, sexual orientation or financial status. All those categories are in the rearview mirror. The new divide has two parties only, but these two are a world apart; one side hates America and the American Thesis, the other embraces it. One side regards America as a giant failure, the other as "the shining city on the hill." The two sides will never agree; that chance is also in the rearview mirror.

PREDICTION

As this huge mess has been created over four decades, the potential outcomes are many, complex and intertwined. The core problem is that no outcome depends on Donald Trump's reelection or Biden's election in 2020. Think of it as a sewage container; there is no sewage container that won't fill up to its rim. This particular (global) "container" was very close to its rim in 2008. Obama and his administration managed to fill it up to capacity. 2020 is that history will regard 2020 as to "when the tank overflowed." Overflowed because as of today 4,900 colleges and universities are filling the tank every day while only a small part of the 500 colleges and universities try to inject centrist and center-right people to keep the balance. It's impossible.

As far as short-term prediction is concerned, should Donald Trump not win in 2020 by a landslide (to a degree that democrats cannot lie about it), the country is going to be a mess for a long time; local armed conflicts between Supporters and Destroyers are not out of the realm of possibilities. The only potential good news what California, Oregon and Washington announced early September; they will secede should Donald Trump was reelected. It would be terrific news because it would stabilize the country. Destroyers of all kinds would have a place to go and take up residency. This would also solve an existing problem that qualifies i.e. California as a cancerous tumor in America's body; California's "Sanctuary State" mantle would be tolerable (although still illegal at the federal level) if California kept all illegal immigrants they let in. The "cancerous tumor" definition comes into play when these illegals enter California, then hop on Greyhound and travel to the rest of the country. That's the part California has no right to do. By seceding, this problem would solve itself.

Predictions for the next 25-100 years in America's history must be viewed with conclusive evidence in mind:

***The American Thesis' most potent, constantly growing enemy within the
"Destroyer" segment of the society is the white, educated population
born after 1980.***

There is a great "push/pull" or "circular reference" synergy between this population and various other segments of society; all of which –in the name of (misconstrued) "liberalism," "wanting to get along," and "understand the other side" - have long forgotten what the American Thesis is all about.

Donald J. Trump Will Be The Last Republican President For The Next Quarter Century

It is the combined result of two simple arithmetic factors:

- On the one hand, the exponential nature of the liberal (pls. remember what "liberal" means in this paper) output (the 4,900 / 500 colleges ratio). The number of people released from ultra-left socialist liberal academia is accelerating, infecting all segments of society;
- The second issue is how these liberals who were active participants in destroying their respective state/city/culture/rule of law, now are moving out of the trash they generated and left behind, and moving into decent states to do the same. Here is why Texas, Oklahoma and other decent, hard working states are in danger of becoming "democrat:" up until approx. ten years ago (i.e.) Californians moved into (i.e.) Texas, but they moved into large cities (Dallas, Houston, Austin), turning them blue. Since the number of US House of representatives were finite (by district), it didn't matter if a "D" House member won election by a vote, or 100,000 votes; it was still that one House member. Now these domestic immigrants are moving outside of the large cities, infecting districts and preventing them from keeping the previous balance between the city and the rural areas.

The above mentioned two factors will further stack all possible areas of our society with "Destroyers" on one hand and will virtually guarantee "Destroyers" advantage at the ballot boxes.

Venezuela? Yes. Venezuela

While it is shocking, let me ask this: can anyone show me a single human, who –in 1998, 1999- knew and fully understood what the Chaves regime would bring as a result **and voted for Chavez** to get where Venezuela is today? No. Venezuela has been sitting on one of the largest oil reserves in the world. It used to be the prime economy of South America. Caracas used to be one of the greatest cities in the world. Voters could have theoretically made a mistake voting for Chavez once. But voters kept voting for Chavez as he was running Venezuela into the ground and destroying its society.

People voted for him on the **identical** (read this phrase three times and let it sink in) ground as to why Germans let Hitler take power, and why in the United States of America people vote for "representatives" from Chuck Schumer and Nancy Pelosi all the way to O'Rourke and Ocasio-Cortez: "Self-interest," "Wanting to get along," "Dumbness" and "Fear."

The historical parallel is overwhelming; no one can pinpoint the slightest difference between Venezuelan voters who kept voting for Chavez and:

- The Jews voting for Obama the second time.
- Voters in Chicago keep voting “democrats” to be mayor while these democrats are destroying the city. It is to the tune of Chicago’s 50 people City Council (they call them “Alderman”) does not consist a single republican. None. Not one. And the city continuously has one of the highest murder rates in the nation. And voters keep voting for democrats.
- Same could be said about Los Angeles and San Francisco
- How about California and the State of N.Y.?
- Philadelphia?
- Or the city of Baltimore?

It is simply a mistake of historical proportions to have identical underlying issues yet expect a different outcome.

POTENTIAL MITIGATING FACTORS

- 1- The constant negative in the equation: it could be established that the American education system will never turn around to provide the academic freedom that was provided in the late 70s, early 80s. In order to establish that era, we would need to fire 95% of all education entities’ (from elementary schools to universities) complete management and approximately 65%-75% of all teachers. The US has 3.2 million teachers today; where can anyone find ~1.9-2.4 million teachers? Therefore it should be regarded as a given that the number of Destroyers is going to keep exponentially growing. If this was the only factor, America in fact would be guaranteed to become Venezuela.
- 2- A cascade of different events has a chance to keep America away from the “Venezuela” scenario. These are:
 - a. Interestingly enough, America’s future could be in the hands of three groups: blacks, Latinos and the population that has never seen universities.
 - i. Blacks: learning under Donald Trump what a decent president can do for them, will see what Mr. Woodson has seen all along from the 60s. There is a chance that the black community will greatly increase its voting percentage for republicans. Also, blacks, by and large, are God fearing people; that in itself can turn them against today’s “democrats.”
 - ii. Latinos are coming from a different angle; they are family oriented, mostly religious people; Democrats’ support for abortion in general and late term abortion in particular, Latinos will have a problem supporting him. On the immigration side, Latinos understand what “Illegal” means a lot more than anyone in the “democratic” party in Washington D.C. They are here, they want to work and raise their family. They are hard working people. Should Trump successfully deal with the “Dreamer” issue, the republicans will get their vote for decades.
 - iii. In our system (and because of it) the further one can stay away from “The American Brainwashing Laundromat” (pardon me: our “higher education”), the more decent, down to earth, common sense, thinking individual one can remain. The overall impact of corona on our society might be able to push people to think about where they send their children, or if they will send them at all. This can play a positive role.
 - b. There could be (although unlikely) a pendulum effect playing a role: there is a chance that the “democrats” have gone too far with the “burning up the cities,” encouraging the BLM and Antifa gangs, and people will –finally– see what the future holds. No pendulum has ever swung in one direction indefinitely; the 2020 history might be the furthest point to the left. “Defund the Police” liberal idiocy could be a guide to gauge where the pendulum is. Late July Gallup survey showed close to 80% of blacks opposed police spending less time on the streets. Among Hispanics, 83 percent wanted the same or more policing.
 - c. Should the voters keep the Senate in Republican hands and Donald Trump will have a chance to replace at least two Supreme Court Justices between 2020-24, as well as, have the Senate confirm another 100-150 judges; that could slow down the socialist liberal attack on America. I don’t think it will eliminate it though.

CLOSING NOTE



As I said on the very first page, I don't have a pony in this race. As a matter of fact, the more "socialism" breaks out in America, the better I will be; my pension, and my healthcare are going to be better. In other words, in this paper I am arguing against my own interest –while arguing for America's. I believe "the" core, "the" most summarizing point in this paper as to what happened in the last four decades is the Corona issue. Looking back to Corona, Shakespeare would not have adequate words to describe my deep disappointment in the American society. The rest of the world has the "Freedom At All Cost" view of America;

99% of the reasons people want to come to America is because of that. They don't have it at home. **If America lost "Freedom At All Cost," America has lost "America."** Corona took America into the close proximity of that. There is no one on this planet (yours truly included) who didn't have a solid, age old view of:

- try to lock Americans in their homes
- try to restrict their movements
- try to restrict their First Amendment Right
- try to tell them what to do
- try to limit what they can do
- try to cut into their freedom
- try to tell them how to behave
- try to offend their born sense of decency...

...they would be on the street, fully using their Second Amendment Rights, and running those who tried to enforce these out of town. It has not happened in America in 2020. No one –with a few exceptions- even questioned when "medical experts" and "expert politicians" made a "sure" statement, then the diagonal opposite of it three weeks later. America stopped thinking, stopped asking questions, and stopped demanding answers. America must think long and hard why it happened like that, where, when and how did we lose it ... but as of today, America is no longer "America." It was proven between March-August of 2020.


Nothing, I mean **nothing** can underline my entire thesis better than Dr. Thomas Sowell's life, work and a few quotes from him. Dr. Sowell, a black economist:

- Was born in 1930 in N. Carolina
- Grew up in Harlem
- Were in the Korean War as a US Marine
- Graduated Magna cum Laude from Harvard in 1958
- Received a Masters Degree from Columbia in 1959
- Received a Economy Doctorate from the University of Chicago in 1968

Now (just to recap within this "America has been built on systemic racism" frame of reference):

- Dr. Sowell is black, born in the South
- His father died early, his Mom was a housemaid
- His great aunt adopted and raised him
- At age nine, the family moved to Harlem
- With that background Dr. Sowell earned various degrees in America's most prestigious universities
- With that background, Dr. Sowell earned teaching positions at universities such as Cornell

Leaning on his life experience of living through one of “the most racist” times America ever knew Dr. Sowell formulated many great observations; some are most relevant to our America in 2020 as she tries to cope with the results of the last four decades’ history:



- ***“No society ever thrived because it had a large and growing class of parasites living off those who produce”***
- ***“Next time some academics tell you how important diversity is, ask how many conservatives there are in their sociology department”***
- ***“Too much of what is called ‘education’ is little more than an expensive isolation from reality”***
- ***“The welfare state is the oldest con game in the world. First you take people’s money away quietly and then you give some of it back to them flamboyantly”***
- ***“It is hard to imagine a more stupid or more dangerous way of making decisions than by putting those decisions in the hands of people who pay no price for being wrong”***
- ***“One of the consequences of such notions as ‘entitlements’ is that people who have contributed nothing to society feel that society owes them something, apparently just for being nice enough to grace us with their presence”***
- ***“I have never understood why it is ‘greed’ to want to keep the money you have earned, but not greed to want to take somebody else’s money”***

And as a “sum-total” of things:

- ***“Much of the social history of the Western world, over the past three decades, has been a history of replacing what worked with what sounded good”***

I understand you do not realize this, but you are digging your own, but more importantly your children and grandchildren’s, grave. Just like the people who were doing it in Venezuela twenty years ago. Those people were also decent, well meaning, wanting to get along, thought “those up there must know better,” and assumed the best of their leaders. They were educated, hard working, and just wanted to live their lives. But most of all, assumed the ***“there is nothing I can do, it’s too overwhelming”*** deadly position; the same that lead Adolf Hitler to power, killed millions of Jews, voted the Muslim Brotherhood into power in Egypt, and sunk Venezuela. On the other hand, it took a guy (by the name of Lech Walesa), and a small group of blue collar workers in Gdansk, Poland, who didn’t think that the 44 year-long communist system supported by Soviet military and guns was “overwhelming.” And the Soviet system was broken because of it. The 2020, 2024 and 2028 elections are the three that could decide the next century’s American history.

So, no, you actually can do a lot; you can think, read, research, question, evaluate, and think some more, you can drop your emotions and feelings and look at data instead, --and most of all: you can vote. Not for the right, or for the left; you can and should vote for America.

But, I could be wrong. God, I do hope I am wrong.