

September 13, 2015

I have predicted, forecasted a lot in my life; the historical “being correct” level is at around 70%. Those I called with a relatively close accuracy:

- The Yugoslavian war (in 1986)
- The 2009 economy collapse (in 2007)
- The 2014 oil price collapse (in 2012)
- China’s economy problems in 2014, 15 and beyond (in 2012)
- Obama being a socialist, anti-American Shia Muslim agent, with a criminal attitude (in 2008)
- The Greek crisis (in 2006)
- The collapse of the European Union and their currency by 2018 (in 2011)

I made a few mistakes, the biggest one being my prediction of Obama’s one term presidency. I’ve never thought of myself anything than what/who I am; a thinking human being (which if true, provides an accurate gauge of the people who are masquerading as “leaders”). All my forecasts were based on detailed data and analysis and some thinking; I wrote about most of them, so I would not repeat those.

With that, let me make my most encompassing prediction to date. First let me write the conclusion than ask you to give it 20 minutes of your time to read the reasoning.

By 2035, there will be 50+ million Muslims living in Europe (France’s total population today is 66M), will transform Europe into a Muslim dominated sphere, and by 2055, Europe will be a Muslim country having well over 130 million Muslims. To put it in a different way: Europe as we know it is over and done with today. And this is unstoppable and irreversible.

Wow! Laslo! This is radical! Are you sure you know what you are talking about? Well...would you like to read my reasoning? Here it is.

Let’s step back to 1992, when “globalization” really took off. I wrote on “globalization” (http://www.mygoatopined.com/Writings/10-12.01_Globalization%20and%20US%20Economy.pdf), so I am not going to repeat that. However it is important to summarize the conclusions. Before the world had gone crazy:

- **“Integration”** --Up until 1992, we actually thought it is a fantastic thing to have diversity; it meant you found German people in Germany (really! I am not making this up!), ate French food in France, went to Morocco, Yemen, Tunisia, Egypt and other places to have great Middle Eastern food, have a fantastic cultural experience, we recognized that Germans are very clean, meticulous, conscientious people, but noisy; we knew Italians (Spanish, Portuguese, Greeks) are not that tidy, but lots of fun; we knew that Greeks are a bit corrupt, don’t like to work all that much, French are running a practical socialism; black people are better in things than whites (such as music, basketball, etc.), Japanese are very detail oriented, etc. It was really fantastic to go to places and find local people, food, stores, music, style, drinks, culture, etc. ...Then came 1992. Since then, (in the name of “we are equal”, “we are the same”, and “we will be integrated”) the “result” includes:
 - “....The New York Times reported in April 2002, “Arab gangs regularly vandalize synagogues here, the North African suburbs have become no-go zones at night, and the French continue to shrug their shoulders.” And Newsweek said in November 2005: “According to research conducted by the government’s domestic intelligence network, the Renseignements Generaux, French police would not venture without

September 13, 2015

major reinforcements into some 150 'no-go zones' around the country—and that was before the recent wave of riots began on Oct. 27.Just two weeks ago, the New Republic wrote: "The word *banlieue* ('suburb') now connotes a no-go zone of high-rise slums, drug-fueled crime, failing schools and poor, largely Muslim immigrants and their angry offspring."In Paris you have areas where a large majority of the population is Muslim (Aubervilliers where my in-laws are from, La Courneuve, Stain, etc.). These places are infested with drugs and Islamists..." (*Breitbart Report 1.22.2015*) Let me make sure you get this one right: ***within the Republic of France, there are recognized areas where the French police will not go in because in those areas French laws do not exist.***

- Between 1992 and 7.7.2005 (when radical Islamists blew up a London Underground station), the UK was open to radical Muslim clerics for hate-business. There were many centers, one being Finsbury Park mosque. "...It was from here that the Egyptian-born cleric, formerly known as Mustafa Kamel Mustafa, dispatched loyal lieutenants for terrorist training from Oregon Afghanistan and helped organize a hostage-taking of Western tourists by Islamic rebels in Yemen, the court was told.....The Finsbury Park mosque was long known to have served as a clearing house for radical Muslims after Hamza arrived as imam in 1997. His hate-filled rants inspired attendees such as Richard Reid, the failed "shoe bomber", Zacarias Moussaoui, one of the 9/11 terror plotters, and Mohammed Sidique Khan, one of the four suicide bombers who blew themselves up on public transport in London on July 7, 2005. Excerpts from his London sermons on the Islamic justification for enslaving, selling and killing non-believers "like a pig, like a cow" – the words that helped earn his British convictions – were played to the jury. (*The Telegraph 5.19.2014*) ***I just want you to think about it: foreign Muslim clerics are preaching and agitating against the UK --- within the UK.***

- In 2011, Mr. Thilo Sarrazin, the former Board Member of the Deutsche Bundesbank wrote an article, titled "*If I want to hear the muezzin, I can book a vacation to the Orient.*" Part of it reads: "...Some Germans — including the elite — have not yet even noticed the problem. In their life, domestic, and working environments Muslim immigrants at the most are encountered as cleaners or as an exotic backdrop for a rare visit to Berlin-Kreuzberg [culturally enriched neighborhood in Berlin]. Certain portions of the intelligentsia and the liberal press seem even to quietly gloat about Muslim immigration undermining German society. What did the U.S. government do for the integration of German, Jewish, Irish and Italian immigrants? They integrated themselves, because they had no other choice if they wanted to survive. There was no public support for importing brides. The failure of integration in Germany is due to the attitude of the Muslim immigrants...." Mr. Sarrazin encountered a huge backlash for his piece in the name of "anti integration."

The headline of the Der Spiegel's 1.20.2011 issue read: "Former German central banker Thilo Sarrazin has been touting his controversial book on integration for months. This week, he went on BBC -- and managed to sound even more outrageous in English than he does in German. His advice? If you are discriminated against for wearing a headscarf, leave the country." ***Let me remind you, this was five years ago. Just compare Mr. Sarrazin's predictions with the situation on the ground today.***

- The liberal delusion of “diversity” had become so ridiculous that you walk up to the Lufthansa counter at Dallas International Airport, and you will find **one** white man. The entire counter crew is assembled of blacks, Asians, Muslims, Vietnamese and other ethnic origins. I have zero problem with any of them. I do have a huge problem with Lufthansa’s idiotic, politically correct policies of doing this. When I walk up to a German counter, I do want to encounter German people. It is not Lufthansa and their counter alone though: it’s everywhere in every country in every business. “Bigos” is fantastic Polish national food, used to be as much of a staple in Poland, as the French baguette in Paris. I was in Krakow and Warsaw not long ago. No one sells bigos. There were sushi restaurants, a fast food place at every corner selling pita bread with anything in it (...). Please explain to me why is this normal, good and beneficial.
- Muslim criminal gangs have taken control of 55 “no-go zones,” according to a report released by Swedish police, which mapped out the areas law enforcement has handed over. The areas are overrun by organized crime and drug dealing and officers frequently face direct attacks when trying to enter them. (Published on 11.5.2014) ***This is happening in Sweden.***
- **Economy/Jobs** --In the political name of “we have better things to do, than low level jobs” (the slogan has been going like this: “Immigrants are doing a job that German [English, French, Swedish, American....] people would not do anyway”), pushed by economy forces’ (e.g. industrial lobby groups) shortsighted interests, the world has reorganized itself to regions where certain jobs will be done. To make it look good, there were a few things along the way had to be ignored:
 - In order to do “low level” (manufacturing, assembly, test) jobs, we had to transfer lots of technologies to the low wage countries; most technologies got stolen, and several of them now are used against us.
 - By sending all “low level” jobs, we eliminated a lot of entry positions; it created a lot of stress in the economy, giving chance to the Obama-types to expand food stamp and other programs ... buying votes with them.
 - We also completely forgot that currency stability comes from “export”, and not from service economy; there is very little to be gained if I bake pizza for you and you will wash my windows. It works on a short run, you will be fed, I will have clean windows, but none of us will gain anything on a long run.
 - The world also had to go nuts in eliminating tax tariffs in the name of “common market” (because don’t forget, we are the same!!). For that, they had to convince the populous that eliminating import/export tax will advance the economy and it is “the country’s interest” (as always of course). What they had to hide at all cost, the fact that import/export tax had a well oiled regulating function; Japan has a lot of rice, so “rice import tax” is high, because they protect their own economy. On the other hand “avocado” import tax is zero, because they like avocado but cannot produce it. The effect of “we are one big loving family”??
Here it is: before the EU, Portugal had a thriving textile industry, with approx. 106,000 people employed. The EU forced them to lower import tax (remember, “we are one big happy family now”), complying with EU textile tax (never mind, that no one had textile industry but Portugal); virtually the next day, Chinese textile flooded Portugal. The country has less than 10,000 employees in its textile industry today.

September 13, 2015

- **European Union** --It more than fascinates me how people cannot possibly learn from history, and how they are completely blindsided by their ambitions and delusions. Just think of this: we in Hungary were actually thought in high-school that we are living in socialism, marching toward communism. "Communism" is when (as per Karl Marx) "no money needed, because everyone consumes based upon the person's needs, and produces based upon the person's abilities". It looks GREAT on paper if you leave the human element out; ...which will cause that not everyone will want to produce based upon "abilities" and not everyone will want to consume only based upon "needs" (and not more); furthermore, there is a better than average chance that the very same people, who do not produce based upon their abilities will be the ones who want more than what they need.... **human element**. Now: this little "misunderstanding" or "gap in Marx's thesis" cost 75 years communism, roughly 40 million lives (no, not WWII; that comes on top. "40 million" comes mainly from Russia (under Stalin), East Germany and North Korea), and misery for the Eastern half of the European continent.
Listen to this because this is very important: *this madness stopped in 1989. Came the mid 90s (only 4-7 years after 1989), and the very same people, who just kicked our Marx and his thesis, started another one: European Union.* I wrote a longer piece on it in 2009 (http://www.mygoatopined.com/Writings/09-11.18_The%20EU%20That%20Anything%20But.pdf), so I will not repeat. But the entire EU thesis was based on this: *"We are one big happy family now. Just look at our new flag up there, and be happy".* Their entire system (including currency, tax, borders, centralized government and bank, etc.) was based on the "we are the same" ... standing on nothing but delusions, such as:
 - ❖ No one will fear Germany
 - ❖ No one will hate France
 - ❖ France and the UK will become brothers
 - ❖ Germans will now be fun and loose people,
 - ❖ Italians will take 30 min. lunch instead of 3 hours
 - ❖ Greeks will not be corrupt and will start to work their fingers to the bone
 - ❖ Swedish and Norwegians will be fun people
 - ❖ 2,000 years worth of religious and social problems as well as deep animosities will all be forgotten
 - ❖ (...I think you get the picture...)

Six years after 75 years worth of disaster, killing, jailing people in the name of a false thesis that left the "human element" out of the equation, the Europeans started another false thesis, leaving the "human element" out.

Up until around 1995, I was thinking of "beside America is pure capitalism, we should take a look at Europe, and see what can we adapt from them; Europe –beside being socialistic- is working, so there must be elements we need to take a look at". Then I realized, that "the" one, "the" only, "the" single element that kept Europe running was the fact that they were diversified; of West Germany, France, and the UK, there was always at least one that pulled the wagon (this role kept shifting), and smaller countries could count on. With the "EU" concept, the Europeans threw "the" only ACE they had, that kept them functioning between the late 1940s and mid 1990s.

September 13, 2015

It is hugely important to understand, because this explains the “Greek problem” (http://www.mygoatopined.com/Writings/12-05.10_Greece%20in%20the%20Nutshell.pdf), and it will play a direct role in my “Europe is over” prediction.

Politics --the obvious intention of the last 25 years or so has been to somehow create the lowest common denominator among people, so it is easier to control them. Education in the entire Western world (US, Western Europe, Canada) has been sinking. It has gotten to a point where

- In 2010 the illiteracy rate in Detroit was 47% (Source: Detroit Literacy Coalition, and CBS Detroit, May 4, 2011).
- After being in the top 5 in the world in 1980, by 2010 the US is ranked 28th of 41 in math, 18th of 41 in reading, 22nd of 41 in science, 29th of 41 in problem solving.
- Chicago’s public school system graduated 55.8% of their students (Source: Catalyst Chicago).
- *“Every school day, more than 7,200 students fall through the cracks of America’s public high schools. Three out of every 10 members of this year’s graduating class, 1.3 million students in all, will fail to graduate with a diploma.According to the Editorial Projects in Education Research Center’s latest analysis of high school completion, the national graduation rate stands at 68.8 percent for the class of 2007, the most recent year for which data is available. This represents a slight drop, four-tenths of a percentage point, from 69.2 percent for the previous high school class.....”* (Source: Education Week December 18, 2011)

Few people understand and even fewer will admit, that this has been the global objective all along. The fact that

- A lawless, socialist, anti-American, Shia Muslim agent (this is not name-calling; this is a description of a person. I have various writings proving every single “tag” here; -- http://www.mygoatopined.com/Writings/13-02.08_Dallas%20Syndrome.pdf) is the president of the United States;
- That a mindless housewife has been running Germany;
- That a self admitted socialist had become the president of France;
- That the UK has not had a strong charismatic leader since Margaret Thatcher

is not the cause; it is the direct result of the global social liberal policies started around 1992.

The (usual) “unintended consequences” (which every single time surprise the very liberals who designed/executed/caused the calamity) in this case, that the world has been pushed to the tipping point;

- Due to the 25 year worth of EU liberalism, Europe has been constantly “performing” at “below 1.5% GDP” level. If asked, they will tell you “because of the global recession” (because liberals always have a “not me” explanation for everything). But it is NOT matter of global recession, that six months after Merkel (wanting to buy green votes) pushed Germany into an energy supply nightmare (by promising to cut all nuclear power), one of the top three executives of the Czech Nuclear Agency personally told me: Germany is financing the Czech Republic to ***BUILD NEW NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS AND GERMANY PURCHASES THE PRODUCTION FOR 75 YEARS.*** Yep, yep gang, the very same time while Merkel was lying into every TV camera she could find how she hates nuclear power,

her government was sending money to the Czech Republic to build the very same power plants to keep the lights on in Berlin.

- As a result of dumbing the population down, the population has become dumb (what a surprise). And ... a dumb population elects dumb leaders. The highly tragic part that this is a self-fulfilling prophecy, a self-generating downward spiral. Make no mistake about it:

it is NOT AN ACCIDENT, or an “Act-Of-God” to have this low level leaders in every single significant western countries (add Argentina, Brazil, Mexico) at the same time in this world. These are ALL democracies, where leaders are VOTED into power by voting populous. It is not looking good.

- In short, (wrong) political decisions influenced a range of issues: education, immigration, welfare, employment, military, healthcare, social security, etc. these in turn had effect on the voting populous which voting populous started to vote toward (the wrong) people who promised a larger monthly check ... which in turn destroyed economy performance which started to take toll on the entire global economy. This is a circular reference (or “firing squad” if you will) that forces a spiral going down with an accelerating speed.

GOING FORWARD

Let's see how 30 years mismanagement of politics and economy has created this ongoing “Middle Eastern migration crisis.” What you need to watch: the Western world by has been pushing itself into more progressive liberal, no rules, no disciplines, “we love everyone” direction, created a physical (as far as making formerly unavailable countries open to unwanted immigration), social, moral and military vacuum, that is filled by people who want to blow up everything they can find. First let's see the components of it.

- The root of the problem started with Pres. Bill Clinton, who had done nothing after various Muslim terrorist attacks, including against the World Trade Center (1993), and the US Cole (2000). It also didn't help that the same Bill Clinton's John Deutsch neutered the CIA to a point that there was not a single Arab speaking CIA agent in Afghanistan on 9/11/2001.
- The problem was amplified under Pres. G.W. Bush who had the “Truman size historical opportunity” to (just as Truman) buy 50-70 year peace to the world, by showing strength to the Arabs (the one and only language they understand) by dropping 4-5 nuclear bombs on Afghanistan. I have been arguing since 2001, that we –today- would not know about “Iraq”, “Iranian bomb”, “Yemen”, “Syria”, “ISIS”, “Libya”; we would have not lost a trillion dollar and 7,000 American lives, if G.W. Bush nuked Afghanistan on the night of 9/11/2001. It was also a huge mistake by Pres. Bush to bomb the wrong country; that should have been Iran. **NOT** because Saddam didn't have the chemical weapon program, and **NOT** because anyone in the Bush administration “lied” about that; that is a liberal delusion. There were seven spy agencies (including the Russian FSB) having the same information. But Pres. Bush should have known who is the main global terror sponsor, and should have acted upon that. This entire situation has gone even more downhill by Pres. Bush's conviction (he holds it to this day) that “humans are born wanting freedom”. It's incorrect. Between 1975-1989, 40% of the Russians who arrived to the US with Green Card, after enduring huge governmental hustle (paying tuition back to the state, giving their apartment back...) by the Soviet

government, ...had gone back to the Soviet Union, because mentally could not handle freedom. Further evidence is the difference between (our beloved hero) Gorbachev, who would be assassinated in 2 min. anywhere in Russia, if he arrived w/o security detail, and Pres. Putin (whom we despise), who is loved by the Russians. **THIS IS** what –although with the best intentions possible) Pres. G.W. Bush has never been able to compute. He (instead of cleaning the place), started a nation building down in Iraq, and it had gone bad. To his credit, he authorized the surge, and turned things around, but the damage was done, because he didn't understand: "nation building" is an honorable thing by US (and Western) standards but a "to be looked down weakness" by anyone, anywhere in the Middle East and Africa, with the only exception of Israel.

- Parallel to Pres. Bush's tenure as president, the European Union was busy destroying itself. There had done just about everything wrong and in a self-destructing way, but the relevant components to this topic were:
 - They let Rumania and Bulgaria in, and you not only have to wonder why the EU needed "the" two most corrupt countries with the lowest level of economy, but you have a great chance to gauge the entire EU philosophy from it. They needed these two countries for two reasons and two reasons only: economy is one of the two. The combined population of these two countries is close to 26 million (3x the size of Austria), so as a (again) short sighted concept, it is a good market to sell. The second one is military (for which Pres. Putin is very pissed –"correctly" from his point of view): from Rumania and Bulgaria, NATO has direct land access to the Black Sea; right under the nose of Russia.
 - They decided (being one happy family damn it!) to eliminate all borders between them.
 - What –being a loving liberal + dumb as a pile of rock + want a quick new market no matter what- the EU bosses did not consider, were a few other pieces in the puzzle. They definitely should have known:
 - ✓ If a country is weak and corrupt (Greece, Rumania, Bulgaria, and to a large degree Hungary), **then their border system will be weak and corrupt.** Which means, anyone, who can (and will) get through any of these borders, can "walk" all the way to Madrid, w/o anyone asks "what are you doing here?"
 - ✓ The "no borders" caused security issues, which simply could not be overcome.
 - They also created a very clever rule, called the Dublin Regulation: "...*The Dublin Regulation (Regulation No. 604/2013; sometimes the Dublin III Regulation; previously the Dublin II Regulation and Dublin Convention) is a EU law that determines the EU Member state responsible to examine an application for asylum seekers seeking international protection...*" (Wikipedia) In short, it means that the border states of the EU are responsible for establishing the migrants' paperwork (doing investigation). It (although doesn't state) implies something far more important. If an "illegal" migrant comes through i.e. Rumania-Hungary-Austria-Germany, --then the Germans have the right to deport the person back to Austria, the Austrians back to Hungary ... the migrant will end up in Rumania, "the" first country where he entered into the EU. It is if the US had a law that all illegal immigrants coming through the Mexican border to Arizona or Texas, could be deported (from anywhere in the US) back to Arizona or Texas. If you get down to it, this (as 99.99% of the EU's existence) hugely favors the Germans; every single immigrant arrives to Germany must come through someone; some other EU country, so the Germans deport anyone they want to. **Remember this, because this will become hugely**

September 13, 2015

important when Angela Merkel invites “all Syrians” to Germany ... all of which come through Hungary.

- Angela Merkel single handedly vetoed Ukraine’s signing NATO’s Membership Action Plan in 2009, making Ukraine available for a (predicted) Russian invasion.
 - Under Merkel’s pressure, Europe managed to get roughly 37% of its total energy import from Russia. Against Margaret Thatcher’s advice, they did it. The very same people who accommodated Russia, now are the most surprised why Pres. Putin just took Crimea w/o asking anyone.
- There was a load of other self destructing moves on both sides of the Atlantic (http://www.mygoatopined.com/Writings/14-03.10_The%20Trio.pdf) which ultimately assisted destroying most Western values, assisting Russia to gain the upper hand, and throw the entire world into a chaos.
 - While all this was going on in Europe, Obama came to the scene in the US. Obama pretty much had three ideas (he announced all, although in a “between the lines” way): destroy the United States, destroy Israel, and expand the Shia Muslim sphere around the world as much as possible. I wrote dozens of pieces on him, I don’t want to repeat any of it. This is not matter of my (or anyone else’s) opinion it is a matter of supporting data. Just as an example, again look at the map: if Egypt didn’t sweep Obama’s twin brother Morsi out, Israel would have been completely encircled, Jordan (if there was a Jordan today) would be hanging by their fingernails (as the only non-radical Muslim country), and Israel would be in grave danger. Obama went as far as sending F-16s and Abrams tanks (the only tank that can operate on sand; thus the huge danger to Israel through the Sinai) to Morsi and his gang (“....Four F-16 fighter jets left the U.S. this morning, bound for Egypt as part of a foreign aid package critics say should have been scrapped when the nation elected a president who has called President Obama a liar and urged that hatred of Jews be instilled in children. “It is appalling that the Obama administration would send F-16s and 200 military tanks to Egypt in the wake of the instability, [and the] anti-American and anti-Israel atmosphere,” Rep. Louie Gohmert, (R-Texas)...”) (FoxNews.com 1/22/2013) Thank God, the Egyptians woke up, kicked Obama’s pal out, which prevented Obama to pull off the “grand plan”.
 - In the process of destroying America’s interests Obama arrogantly promised a “line”, then a “red line”, then some “calculus” in case Syrian president Assad used chemical weapons. Assad did. Nothing happened. There was more in his move than what met the eye at first look; first, this was “the” plan. No one I know ever believed Obama will invade Syria, an Iranian (his Shia Muslim brothers) interest. He (as usual) just wanted to run his mouth. Also, this spineless move greatly amplified the will of all existing psychopaths first and foremost the ones in Tehran. It also strengthened the determination of the Chinese leaders as well as Pres. Putin’s. They knew they are dealing with a weak clown, who does not belong to the chair he is sitting in.
 - As a result of Obama’s doings, the Middle East has gotten into calamity. As I stated, Pres.G.W. Bush has given a stable Middle East to Obama. I do understand this is a sore point for liberals, but this statement is not a matter of opinion; there are multiple vide recordings. In one, this liberal icon said: “..I am very optimistic about -- about Iraq. I mean, this could be one of the great achievements of this administration. You're going to see 90,000 American troops come marching home by the end of the summer. You're going to see a stable government in Iraq that is actually moving toward a representative government,...”(Joe Biden on Larry King, in 2010) By the end of 2014, we have gotten to a point where:
 - There is no military presence in the Middle East

- Obama is doing a matinee bombing (we did 800-1000 sorties/day in Serbia; we have been doing 12-15/day in the Middle East –twelve to fifteen...)
- ...as a result, ISIS is flourishing
- ...as a result, people are leaving by the hundreds of thousands
- I wrote about this years ago: in my 61 years, I cannot name a single year, where all significant countries in the Western world were run by clowns, or gangsters. While Jimmy “America’s Brain Injury” Carter was busy running the White House tennis court’s schedule (true story, I am not making this up), Helmut Schmidt was running West Germany and Valéry Giscard d’Estaing was running France. So there was always someone. But dumb liberals decided to dumb the world (to their own IQ capacity), and they have succeeded in 25 years. As I stated here, the fact that these people masquerading as “leaders” have gotten where they are is not the “cause” of the problems; it is a “result” of 25-30 years’ worth sustained liberalism.

WHERE ARE WE TODAY?

Summarizing the above: a mathematical equation was developed, where “migrants” are on one side, keeping balance with:

- Four “world leaders” who worth nothing
- A progressive liberal wave that caused:
 - Low education
 - Low social morale
 - A “what’s in it for me” generation
- A dumbed down public, who will vote for anyone without thinking
- A weak and chaotic Europe right before economy collapse
- A social liberal Europe that has also been bleeding from social, cultural and religious problems caused by its “we love everybody” policies toward Muslim migrants,
- A completely disintegrated and unchecked Middle East, ran by a herd of gruesome thugs, only paralleled by Hitler’s Germany
- A completely spineless global Muslim population who for 30 years has not protested **once** against their own kind for doing terrorist attacks. In the meantime, their own kind is beheading people and occasionally burning people alive in prime-time television.
- A militarily strong Russia and China; at the same time both are in economy trouble, making them even more dangerous
- No will or spine anywhere in the Western world to change course

There is a lot of way to see this, but one might be: the world played itself into a perfect storm.

- People in the Middle East must choose between running away and being burned alive;
- The world’s dumbed down population voted people into a leadership position, none of them remotely qualified to occupy;
- These “leaders” (that the world democratically elected) have no interest and/or willingness to kill these Muslim criminal thugs, and by doing so create a possibility for everybody to go back home;
- The closest geographical area these migrants can run to is Europe;

September 13, 2015

- But, Europe, -being destroyed by the EU-, has no willingness, capacity, logistics, understanding, mutual agreement, financing, and system of laws to handle these migrants;
- Because of the last 6.5 years (wrong) policies, Russia and Iran have gotten to a point from which both are agitating against the West as well as financing terrorism;
- As a result of the EU's ineffective and wrongheaded policies (open borders) the flow of migrants, as well as the flow of terrorists and terrorist assets can freely move within Europe.
- This disaster is compounded by the global Muslim population's support and agreement of all terrorist attacks in the Western world.

Another way to say this that 30 years worth of mindless progressive liberal policies, along with several bad decisions on the conservative side, and a completely spineless global Muslim population's sneaky wink toward their murderous compadres were placed on these migrants' (who are running for their lives) shoulder, wishing them the best of luck.

What contributed to this calamity?

- First and foremost: think of the fact that hundreds of thousands of migrants have to decide between leaving their homeland, and being burned alive. Yet: NO ONE (politicians, radio, TV, newspapers, incl. WSJ, FOX News;.. all of them) talks about the root of the problems; that we all midwived a bunch of murderous psychopath thugs to form their own place by wholesale killing people and destroying several thousand year old world heritage (front page headline in the WSJ on 9/10/15: *"EU Presents Plan to Distribute Refugees Across Europe"* In the article not a word is donated to the root cause). To make this visual, think of this: think of the total force of NATO, and bounce this data against the fact that these clowns took Mosul, Iraq (population 664,000) having 870 (eight hundred and seventy) of them, using Toyota pick-up trucks. That was it. While these killers have been destroying everybody and everything in site, the issues being debated by "politicians" and reported by the media are: "how many refugees we will take?", "why this many?", "when?", "how much it will cost?" For about 3-4 months, no one said a single word about "going to the Middle East, clean the place, so these people can go back home". No one. IT IS MADNESS. It also shows the "quality" of leaders this world has been subjected to.
- The second contributing factor was Merkel's announcement: Germany will take the Syrians. This started an unbelievable flood of migrants.
- Her announcement has just proven how clueless she is, and why she actually believed, she can exclude the all important "human nature" from the EU equation; because 24 hours after her announcement, guess what happened? Everyone (I mean: **everyone**) had become "Syrian". The only way to prove you are a Syrian when you are not, is ...losing" all your papers. So now, we are back to the "Dublin Regulation"; remember, "if you have no paper, you can be deported back to the first country you entered into the EU" (and again, how convenient is that Germany is surrounded by EU countries!). This is the root-cause of the "Hungarian problem" splashed all over the global media, not explaining the real issue. The Hungarian prime minister Orban (whom I otherwise despise), is 100% correct on two points:
 - Hungary does not want to have Muslim population, so Hungary will not participate in this forced housing of this (endless) stream of people. He said, "we do not criticize France, Germany, Italy and other countries for increasing their Muslim population, but we don't want them to criticize us for not wanting to have them"; and
 - PM Orban said: "look, these people have been coming by the tens of thousands daily. No paper. So, if we let them through to Austria, the Austrians (based on the Dublin Regulation) will send them back to us, then we are stuck with them." Thus the infamous "fence" Hungary built.

(side note).....I was this far in the writing, when I was invited to a dinner. One participant was a real fun, highly intelligent attorney –specialized in immigration law- whom I have great respect for and really love. I do it in spite the fact she is a liberals’ liberal. You can say she is my favorite liberal –it’s a very short list to begin with though. Since I am positive the liberal views on this topic will be 2x compared to the number of liberals who will read it (most liberals have more than one answer to most questions), and I only have one opinion, let me write mine here.

First, I am not against the migrants; I was in Budapest, I took a ton of clothing and food to them. Also, I am an immigrant, so I have some experience. The only points I have on the issue are:

- Be an “immigrant” or a “refugee” (I do know there is a legal difference), is an act of “asking a favor” (of someone to tuck you in).
- When you ask a favor, “the” **only** (I want liberals to read “only” three times here) thing you can, must, have to, committed to, obligated to DO, the **only** thing you cannot possibly avoid, is : TO COMPLY. Comply with the protocols of the country you arrived to, and ask the favor from.
 - When 100 years ago people arrived to the US, they went to Ellis Island for PROCESSING;
 - When during the Cold War Eastern Europeans crossed the border and decided to stay, they went to Vienna, or to Italy to a refugee camp for PROCESSING;
 - When I came to the US, the protocol was to go to the nearest Immigration Office within 48 hours from your arrival, and start the PROCEDURE;
 - A Cuban friend of mine arrived to the US (became a refugee) on 9/1/15; next day he went to the Immigration Office to apply for social security no., and work permit –as per the US PROCEDURE for Cubans.
 - The “variations” of the above have been:
 - ✓ People came to Vienna (FOR PROCESSING) and asked a certain country to go (i.e. Canada) from Vienna;
 - ✓ People (mostly Russian Jews) came to Vienna (FOR PROCESSING) after they left Russia saying “we go to Israel”. Then, after arrival to Vienna (during PROCESSING) they asked to go to the US.

All these PROCESSING are based on a protocol gave the country of destination (whichever you chose) to say YES/NO. This was the whole idea (beside to clear who are you, and what do you want) behind a protocol everyone had to follow. One thing liberals cannot possibly avoid (attorneys or not): over the last 100 year or so, every single move by the immigrants or refugees **WAS WITHIN THE CONFINES OF THE EXISTING PROTOCOLS.**

- ...Then came the current Middle Eastern “migrant crisis”, encompassing:
 - People have been arriving by tens of thousands w/o paper (...), because they are all “Syrians”;
 - They (if and when in police custody; because they try to avoid it) **refuse** to cooperate, **refuse** to give their name, country of origin, anything. The only things they are willing to say: “I want to go to Germany”;
 - They completely disregard the country’s (in this case: EU and its extension: Hungary) protocols for immigrants (which includes the Dublin Act);
 - They cut a country’s fence by force. Again: let’s not discuss if erecting a fence is right or wrong (I know liberals would love to take the discussion onto this tear-jerking path), because it is not the point. The point: “a” country erected “a” fence. You (as a

September 13, 2015

person outside of the fence) like it or not, “that” is legal fence, that you have no right to cut or scale.

- They –against existing protocols- demand to go to Germany (as I discussed a minute ago: you **do not demand**, when you are an immigrant; **you ask**);

Now: if you are an immigration attorney saying “*I want to change, or fight against the protocol*”, that’s one separate discussion to have; if you say “*these protocols are wrong (inhumane....)*”, that’s another separate conversation to have; if you say “*I want to help these migrants to circumvent the protocols*” –that’s yet a third discussion to have. All three are rational. However: we are NOT discussing those. We are discussing:

- These migrants do not behave and act in a way migrants should behave and act; and
- These countries have the absolute right to handle these migrants based on existing protocols.

...(end of side note)

WHAT WILL TOMORROW BRING?

Well, this one is the hard (unhappy) part. The two photos here were taken by me on 9/2, in Budapest, at a railway station. If we add up the content of these two photos with the content of this writing, this is the conclusion we must arrive to:



1. There is nothing will be done before June 2017 the very earliest. This “earliest” date assumes that there will be a strong Republican president in the White House (not Jeb Bush), along with Republican majority in both chambers.
2. The new president will need a minimum of this much time to begin to rebuild US military, our international relations, NATO relations, relations vis-à-vis Russia and China from the carnage Obama left behind.

September 13, 2015

3. Even if the new president starts to clean up the Middle East from Assad and ISIS, it will take a year to do it (now we are looking at June 2018).
4. Even taking the “shortest” time-frame, there will be (in my estimation) 4M-6M immigrants coming to Europe.
5. (just look at the picture..) What I saw was, a minimum of 70% of all immigrants are younger than 30 year of age.
6. Once this crowd settled, they will have the right to bring the family over.
7. As a result of this wave, by 2035, there will be a minimum of 26 million “new Muslims” living in Europe; this math only assumes 3 children average (18m), plus the family members of the original 6 million and their children.
8. Add this number to the 19 million living in Europe today, plus their children in a generation....
9.and you arrive to a 50+ million Muslims living in Europe by 2035. This number (with the average number of children they have), will easily produce 130m-170m Muslims living in Europe by 2055.
10. You do not need to be a brain surgeon to figure out the religious, societal, educational, health care, and political implications of this.
11. Another component you do not need to have higher IQ than low 2 digit to realize: this flowchart is **IRREVERSIBLE**. It is a simple math, based on current events, knowing the Western world's (non) reaction (to “reverse” this, there should be 50,000 military, and a large Air Force contingent down in the Middle East by the end of this month), knowing the events in the Middle East (ISIS), and the Muslim population growth. I would like to remind everyone, that this equation does not consist one more (pretty well known) component: Iran and its nuclear program midwifed by Barack Hussein Obama. That “component” alone can double or triple the number of Muslims living in Europe by 2055.

So, I am sticking to my forecast:

By 2035, there will be 50+ million Muslims living in Europe (France's total population today is 66M), will transform Europe into a Muslim dominated sphere, and by 2055, Europe will be a Muslim country having well over 130 million Muslims. To put it in a different way: Europe as we know it is over and done with today. And this is unstoppable and irreversible.

...After posting ...

I completed the writing of this piece, posted it, and received substantial (98% positive) feedback. I also shared it with the on-line commenting community of the Wall Street Journal. A gentleman, by the name of **Stephen Nelson** brought up a highly interesting and hugely important point:

He said, “*these people rather run away from their home, than fight for their country.*” It is worth to examine in some depth. There are several pieces of this puzzle, giving a very reliable picture of what's going on.

- Back in 1956, during the Hungarian uprising, Soviets with tanks could not take Budapest against 16-18 year old kids (the “revolutionary force's” average age was around 18-19, counting everybody) whose main weaponry was Molotov cocktails, rifles and guns. The Soviets had to shell Budapest with heavy artillery from afar to take the city.
- Now:
 - Mosul, a town of 664,000 was taken by 870 ISIS thugs arriving on Toyota pick-up trucks;

September 13, 2015

- The one, the only reason why ISIS is driving Humvees today, is because the **entire Iraqi army threw their weapons down and ran away;**
 - The US just lately trained some 54 “Syrian rebels” at the cost of \$41 million (comes to \$759,000/head US tax payer money). We called them “Division 30.” Division 30 switched side on its first mission, after some of the group members were kidnapped. *“....A group of Syrian rebels that includes fighters trained by the United States have declared their refusal to fight al-Qaida’s affiliate in the country, the Nusra Front, following a series of kidnappings by the militant group..... A source in Division 30, which has endured a campaign of kidnappings by the Nusra Front, said they also oppose the American air strikes carried out in the last few days against the al-Qaida-linked fighters..... “It’s quite telling in fact that a majority of the mainstream opposition now views Division 30 and the train and equip mission with intense suspicion – not only for the lack of regime focus, but for the disastrous start the 54 fighters have had.” [The Guardian 8.6.2015];*
 - Right now, we are dealing with over 400,000 migrants; let’s say 50% of them able body men in “fighting age”. That’s 200,000 people. The entire ISIS membership is at 50,000 today. Which is not as good as in Mosul (664,000 against 870), but still not bad.
 - So, able-minded people must consider two points (one brought up by Stephen, the other is my conclusion):
 - Why are these people not fighting for their own home and families? What if their culture just does not let them do it?
 - What if the world (as a result of the 30 years liberal infestation) has gotten to a point, where two large groups of cowards are colliding? The one that is running away instead of fighting, and the other who is completely OK with destroying its own culture, people, society, religion, system of laws and livelihood by accommodating the “group of runaways”, just in order to avoid fighting (sending 30,000 GIs there to clean the place). It is (not similar, but..) identical to what the spineless coward liberals have done in the past:
 - ✓ there was no (zero; nada; zilch) “women’s right” group opposing Bill Clinton’s womanizing;
 - ✓ there is no (zero; nada; zilch) “human rights” group objecting Obama’s Iran deal with a country where they still stone women to death;
 - ✓ there is no (zero; nada; zilch) “environmental group” objecting what the EPA did in the San Juan River in Colorado;
 - ✓ there is no (zero; nada; zilch) “human rights” group objecting that Planned Parenthood has a price list on baby parts which they are selling after abortion;
 - ✓ (...this list is very long..)
- (as a result of 30 years liberal upbringing), all you have is a large liberal population completely coward, completely mindless, having no spine whatsoever. And the result? What we have today.

Gang, this is where we are.