

I started to say/write a very long time ago, and I have been still doing it, that John Kerry does not belong to any position above a grocery store food packaging assistant. I started to say this, when Johnny uttered his famous "I voted for it before I voted against it" statement. I argued at that time that Johnny either has no clue what is the magnitude of this statement, or understands it completely; either way it is very bad. If he has no clue, he obviously does not belong to any decision making place or job, because he proved to be a clueless idiot. If he knew perfectly well what he was saying, than –again- cannot be trusted, because he proved to be an arrogant prick (and this is not a name-calling, this is a description of a person), because he thinks he can say anything, he is entitled to it, and at the end, he will get away with it.

Now Tamara:

Those of you, lucky enough never knowing Tamara Holder, look her up:

www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tamara_Holder

In short, Tamara can be defined this way: there is no "liberal", "progressive", "democrat", "women's-lib" or other tag Tamara would not wear. She is the liberals' liberal. Also, compared to Tamara, Johnny Kerry is a Winston Churchill, Margaret Thatcher, Cicero, Albert Einstein, "Honest" Abe, and Mother Theresa wrapped in one. I mean the stupid dumb arrogance with which Tamara makes idiotic and untrue statements, while she hangs a know-it-all, high-brow, talking-down-everyone, idiotic grim on her face, bugles the mind (but Tamara also has a disagreeable side too....). Johnny Kerry has one more advantage over Tamara: while Tamara has a voice of a fire siren, Johnny has an OK voice.

With that, this is what happened within the last two weeks.

I have given up watching Sean Hannity on FOX a long time ago, for several reasons, which could be the topic of another writing. But, one night –nothing else to do- turned FOX on, and there was Sean, running his "panel discussion". Panel members were Sean, onether guy, another women, and Tamara. The following conversation went down regarding a topic I don't even remember:

Tamara: *"No, president Obama has never said anything on <<<the topic>>>"*

The rest of the panel (all three of them): *"Tamara, he said <<<>> on this day, <<<> on that day,...."* (they kept going on)

The other guy (not Sean), grabbed a page from a pile that was a front of him, and started to quote from Obama's writings on the very topic, of which –according to Tamara- Obama has never spoken about.

Tamara (after listening to all this for probably 25-30 sec): **"He might have said it, but he didn't mean it"**

STOP !!

Please stop here for a minute, and read the last sentence three times. Then tell me how do you argue with someone like this? How do you argue with someone, who arrogantly think knows everything, you have to work hard to prove that she is wrong, and when you did, she turns around and makes that statement? What do you say? Other than of course, "Honey you belong to an institute".

And here is the problem gang: 90% of the liberals have the same mental illness (and this is what it is: an illness; a disease). These people first establish the conclusion then they go about trying to either find, or invent the evidence. If that didn't work, they just lie about it.