

Well, I wrote about this in early 2010, and did not gain popularity by saying so (not that I gave a damn). Based upon LOTUS' doings, I argued that LOTUS did get into the White House with two and only two objectives in mind:

- 1) Expand the Islamic/Muslim sphere and control around the world as much as possible, and
- 2) Knock America down as much as possible.

I also argued that in order to achieve 1), you must do 2) in close parallel. I have been arguing since, that you only need to look at any and all domestic and international event through this lens, and all of a sudden everything will make sense. I mean “hating Bibi Netanyahu from day1?” It does not make sense ... only of course if you are a committed Muslim, hating Jews. Not going to Paris to march with the rest of the world leaders after some Muslim gangsters mowed down a bunch of people? Makes sense? Only, if you are committed Muslim not willing to march against your own. Doing everything you can to get Iran a nuke? In spite their multiple statement that they will wipe Israel off the map? Yep, it sounds just about right to you if you were a committed Muslim. Leaving Iraq (letting Iran and Al Qaeda back), Afghanistan (letting Taliban back)?; not going into Syria (an Iranian interest)?; knocking off all America friendly dictators?; signing an agreement with the Muslim Brothers about weapon shipment?; cancelling the shipment on the day when the Brothers are kicked out?; doing 5-7 sorties against ISIS a day (just to compare: the number of sorties flown in the first night against Serbia was 400, and was considered “insufficient”)?; giving up three US embassies in the theater? Do all these make **a-n-y** sense to anyone? Yes, if the person who has power over our military is a committed Muslim.

I do understand that no one in the American media can possibly say this, but this is just a fact. Not that I hope my liberal friends and enemies will understand this, but let me introduce a string of $2+2=4$ type facts to everybody. Pay attention, it will be fun.



Fig. 1.

Fig.1. shows the relevant countries in the region. Once and for all, I showed where Israel is, because it is very tiny, and it not named on the map. Pay attention to two more “tiny” points: to the very right of Israel is Jordan, and right above it is Lebanon.



Fig. 2.

This was the status in January, 2009, when LOTUS moved into the White House. I colored the map based upon international security threat (which is closely paralleled with the threat to Israel), and in terms of “training Muslim gangsters”; the lighter the gray, the lower the threat. The friendly countries to Israel (and thereby guaranteeing the regions’ stability) were Egypt and Jordan (colored green).

Then came LOTUS.

The Plan That Was

February 15, 2015



I argued all along that LOTUS' plan is to encircle Israel. This is how the map looked on the day when the Brothers in Egypt got "elected". As you see, there was only Jordan left, hanging by their fingernail.



It almost happened... I argued in those days, that Israel either brakes out with a military operation against Iran (risking WW III), or Israel's days are numbered. If you look at Fig. 4, and the nature of the neighborhood, you don't need to be a brain surgeon to figure this out.... On the other hand, ...if you are a liberal, you will not have a clue.



Eternal, never dying gratitude to the Egyptian people (especially to General al-Sisi) they hindered LOTUS' plan. They kicked his gangster Muslim brothers out, and in all likelihood, Morsi will not die in his bed. So now, we have the map shown on Fig.5.

I know there will be a lot of liberal "on the other hand", and "but", and "I don't believe it", or "hope you are not right"; you can "hope" all you want. But once you are done "hoping" point out one country on the map where my coloring is incorrect.