#### **Meaning of Cosa Nostra**

October 27, 2013

Reading Peggy Noonan's piece in the WSJ ("ObamaCare Is Taking On Water" 10/26/13), a particular line jumped out: "....If they knew it, did they not tell the White House?...." I am beyond-belief amazed, how many times over the last five years we have heard and read this line. I am equally amazed how the Obama regime has been managing that Obama personally "never takes on water" sort of speak. So, let me run a train of thoughts here.

As most people know, Cosa Nostra means "Our Thing". You know, a "Thing" that we discuss between ourselves, never let anyone into the inner circle, a structure where the cardinal modus operandi is "All For One"; but never "One For All". A "Thing" where the Boss must be protected at all cost, and for this service the inner circle remains just that: "Inner". Our Thing. Cosa Nostra. The Cosa Nostra theory and rules have been serving its members and bosses for over two hundred years. One of the most well known cases of the ins and outs, is Al Capone's case who was not and could not be charged with multiple murders and a long list of other serious crimes, because no one was talking. The boss was protected. He ended up in prison on a simple tax evasion case.

I developed a three pieces puzzle, to explain what is going on in the White House since January, 2009. Let's see if it makes sense.

As the **FIRST PIECE**: For five years, we have been forced to listen to Barack Hussein Obama's tirades on "my team", "I directed my staff", "the way I see it", and the permutation thereof. Not to mention the "My red line", and "My calculus" comments, or his bragging about ordering Osama bin Laden's killing and the drown strikes. From all of Obama's speeches and comments, one can safely and with high accuracy conclude that there is nothing going on in the Obama regime without Obama's stamp of approval. This is beside Jay Carney's constant, in-your-face, bold faced lies on prime time television. Obama's micromanaging control freak nature most probably extend to who and when mops the White House floors (don't doubt me; his kindred spirit, Jimmy "America's Brain Injury" Carter was personally running the tally as to who can play on the White House tennis court). A few months ago, in an interview, the reporter used the "ObamaCare" designation, to which Obama made the "I don't mind they calling it ObamaCare" (probably a 90% close paraphrase) comment. Obama is not only directing everything in his regime, he is proud of it.

The **SECOND PIECE** of this puzzle is the "run for the hills" (or "hide under the desk" –which is probably a closer visual) when disaster hits, clearly illegal moves or boldfaced lies see the light of the day.

# In the case of "Fast and Furious" (gun running in Mexico):

As the Heritage Foundation reported: "....And press secretary Jay Carney previously said that the **President 'did not know'** about or authorize this operation..."

The London based "Telegraph": "...Moreover, Holder's request for executive privilege made <u>no</u> <u>reference to White House involvement</u> in Fast and Furious, which seems to have been run exclusively by the ATF.."

# In the case of Benghazi:

CBS News: "....Barack <u>Obama denied any knowledge</u> of State Department employees being prohibited from testifying on the Benghazi terrorist attack that killed four Americans last September, but said he would find out..."

October 27, 2013

# In the case of Solyndra:

USA Today: "....Former top aides of President Obama say they knew nothing about the loan to Solyndra, the now-bankrupt solar energy company that has proved to be an embarrassment to the administration. "I don't know anybody associated with Solyndra and I know nothing about the project," former political adviser David Axelrod told radio station WLS yesterday...."

#### In the IRS scandal:

AFP: "...US President <u>Barack Obama said Thursday he had not known about</u> abuses by tax officials who targeted conservative groups until a report into the affair was leaked to the press last week. "I promise you this. The minute I found out about it, then my focus has been about making sure we get the thing fixed," Obama told reporters...."

# In the AP probe case:

RT: "...The <u>White House has denied any prior knowledge</u> of the Justice Department's investigation of the Associated Press. US Attorney General has defended the probe, saying the "aggressive action" was in response to national security being put at risk..."

# On how Obama's DOJ illegally broke into James Rosen's private emails:

The Guardian: "...It is now well known that the Obama justice department has prosecuted more government leakers under the 1917 Espionage Act than all prior administrations combined - in fact, double the number of all such prior prosecutions.....The FBI tracked Rosen's movements in and out of the State Department, traced the timing of his calls, and - most amazingly - obtained a search warrant to read two days worth of his emails.....Essentially accusing James Rosen of being an unindicted co-conspirator in these alleged crimes is a major escalation of the Obama DOJ's already dangerous attacks on press freedom., Recall, as well, that the New York Times' Jim Risen is currently being pursued by the Obama DOJ, and conceivably faces prison if he refuses to reveal his source for a story he wrote about CIA incompetence in Iran. Said Risen: I believe that the efforts to target me have continued under the Obama Administration, which has been aggressively investigating whistleblowers and reporters in a way that will have a chilling effect on the freedom of the press in the United States...""

While everyone was trying to find a White House response to this obviously illegal act by Obama's DOJ, Jay Carney said this at a White House briefing: "...I will refer you to what <u>the president</u> said in response to a question about another matter along these lines, and that is that he <u>is a strong</u> <u>defender of the First Amendment</u> and a firm believer in the need for the press to be able to conduct investigative reporting and facilitate a free flow of information...."

Carbonated TV reported: "President Obama, who, as far as we know, had no direct knowledge of the investigations, has stated that he values freedom of the press, but also the need for the State Department to carry out covert missions, and to retain their secrets..."

#### On ObamaCare launch disaster:

U.S. News: "....The daily briefings will start Thursday, just two days after Health and Human Services Secretary Kathleen Sebelius said *Obama did not know about the health insurance website's hitches* and glitches until after it was launched three weeks ago...."

#### **Meaning of Cosa Nostra**

October 27, 2013

# On the "Did we spy on Merkel and other leaders, or didn't we?" case:

What -being an American- made me embarrassed more than anything is Obama&Co's sorry response to the problem. Before I comment on it, please read the quote from WSJ's October 28 issue:

"....The White House cut off some monitoring programs after learning of them, including the one tracking Ms. Merkel and some other world leaders, a senior U.S. official said. Other programs have been slated for termination but haven't been phased out completely yet, officials said.

The account suggests **President Barack Obama went nearly five years without knowing his own spies were bugging the phones of world leaders.** Officials said the NSA has so many eavesdropping operations under way that it wouldn't have been practical to brief him on all of them.

They added that the president was briefed on and approved of broader intelligence-collection "priorities," but that those below him make decisions about specific intelligence targets. The senior U.S. official said that the current practice has been for these types of surveillance decisions to be made at the agency level. "These decisions are made at NSA," the official said. "The president doesn't sign off on this stuff." That protocol now is under review, the official added...."

Let me add my two cents here: you people in the White House mean to tell all of us, that your regime collected intelligence on the Chancellor of Germany, the President of France, and this data was not only never used in daily briefings, but your president did not even know of its existence? So a question could be asked: What the hell you people have been basing your decisions on? I understand that most of you are an empty headed moron, but at least you should not advertise it.

Gang, this is bad. We are in a bad fix, when the president of the United States of America stoops to this "my dog ate my homework" level of communication.

And, as the obvious **THIRD PIECE**, is the "unique attitude" how Obama adheres to Eric Holder, Kathleen Sebelius, John Brennan, Valerie Jarrett, David Axelrod, James Clapper, Arne Duncan and a list of other people, clearly having no business filling their respective spots (you can freely include the worst moron ever ran the Department of Homeland Security, Janet Napolitano, who left after four years, to become the —what else, than the liberal paradise- president of California university system)

So let's quickly review what we have shall we?

- The guy in the White House is a micro managing control freak, "a leader" on everything that goes well;
- The same guy is a "no-information, poor-thing-kept-in-the-dark, otherwise fine executive" on all illegal and/or untrue issues unearthed by someone in the country;
- The same guy has developed and is running a size of "plumbing division" that makes Richard Nixon's plumbers petty amateurs;
- The same guy has a band of front-men (and women) who rather embarrass themselves, portray themselves as a fool, a liar, a mumbling idiot; who would die before give up the boss ...and the guy rewards them by sticking to them.

Well, folks, this is "our thing". This is Cosa Nostra.