July 25, 2013

Dear Mr. Obama:

Although I didn't pay attention to the Zimmerman trial (roughly 400 other homicides happened in the US during the trial), and hated the media (including Fox News) for covering it, I was forced to pick up bits and pieces. This included your private remarks on July 19th. The sentence that really resonated with me was your argument of (and I am paraphrasing) *"If you are unjustly followed like I was in your youth, that stays with you for the rest of your life"*. You cannot be more right, and cannot be more wrong.

To begin the discussion with the "right" part, let me say, I (through my own experience), deeply share your sentiment. Back between 1974 and 1980, Hungarians for the first time since 1956 were allowed to leave the country once a year to go to Vienna, Austria for less than 72 hours. As a result, Hungarians flooded Vienna between Friday nights and Sunday afternoon. In those years I was among the very few Hungarians who worked abroad; I was working for IBM. Because some percentage of my Hungarian compadres interpreted "shopping" in a unique way (fulfilling the definition of "shoplifting") I personally witnessed several counter measures by the Austrian police and shopping mall security services:

- If I spoke Hungarian in a mall, one or two security guards started to follow me, and did so until I left the
 mall,
- I personally saw signs in many shop windows written in Hungarian: "Hungarians, do not shoplift". I
 know you understand the discrimination I was subjected to.

As a result, I did not frequent any mall that was a spot for weekend tourists, did not speak Hungarian with my Hungarian colleagues in shops and most of all (and this should drive the conversation): not then, not since, not for a second, not in any shape or form, not even in my bad dreams I have ever criticized the Austrians for trying to protect themselves by "unjustly" following me and my IBM colleagues. I was, on the other hand, hopping mad (and still am) at the Hungarians, who soiled me as a fellow Hungarian, and put me into that discriminated position. I always judged the situation as "shame on my fellow Hungarians". I feel I am qualified to talk about the Trayvon Martin case.

It is my argument that until human beings will populate the planet, discrimination will exist. Let us call it "soft discrimination", which is vastly different from the 1960s "White Fountain / Colored Fountain" scenario. Soft discrimination exists in people's mind born out from many different cultural and life experience. I can assure you, that over the last 27 years, I have seen probably four times more blacks and Latinos being anti-white, than the other way around.

We can easily compare my Vienna experience with the Trayvon Martin case if I parallel what I had in 1975 and what neither Trayvon Martin, nor Rachel Jeantel, nor their immediate living sphere, nor the tragically overwhelming segment of the black society have: education, social background and reasonable intelligence. And this takes us into the "You cold not be more wrong" discussion. The characteristics of "Trayvon Segment" of the US society was greatly encapsulated in Rachel Jeantel's two liner discussion with Don West:

"Are you able to read that at all?" West asked; "Some, but not all. I don't read cursive"

Rachel Jeantel is 19 year old. We do not know if Trayvon Martin could read, wright and comprehend, but we know this: after 12 years of US education, Rachel Jeantel reads "some but not all". She does not "read cursive". She does not read cursive, the same way how 47.2% of the entire Detroit is functionally illiterate, how 51% of your old stomping ground, the great city of Chicago's students never graduate, and half of the remaining 49% do not read cursive either (it is a polite way of saying: "half of the 49% is illiterate"). It begs the questions why, and why is it important in the Trayvon Martin's case? It is also a very interesting and pointing question why dirty, spineless opportunistic race paddlers like Jessie Jackson and Al Sharpton are not up in arms every day, why are not protesting, or doing marches on a daily basis the front of the Teachers Unions buildings? Why they are not pissed that their kind (they –apparently- love so much) is living in an intellectual and economical ditch (or swamp, whichever phrase you like). I mean millions of them. These are the real questions not who banged whose head in Florida.

July 25, 2013

The questions is why a seventeen year old kid immediately resorted to "whoop ass", and as a consequence got killed in self-defense. If this kid's education level was higher than Rachel Jeantel's, then a few things would be present today:

- He would be alive,
- We would not know Rachel Jeantel, because a higher educated Trayvon would have never mixed with a Rachel Jeantel level person,
- The American Race Paddling Industry (a.k.a. public television plus –I hate to say- Fox News) would have not dragged us through this "public trial" mud

In other words, everyone would be fine.

If this kid's education level was higher than Rachel Jeantel's, then the give and take between him and Zimmerman would have gone like this:

- 1. (Martin) Do you have a problem?
- 2. (Zimmerman) No I don't.
- 3. (instead of: "Now you do" -by Martin); So why are you following me then?
- 4. Because I don't know you and I am a neighborhood watch guy.
- 5. I am heading home to my father's house. He lives at <<<address>>>.
- 6. I am sorry I followed you. Good night.

Case would have been closed. But, (in step three) Martin's whoop ass culture and Rachel Jeantel level background took center stage, and the entire affair took a tragic direction.

Mr. President, I don't know if you have run a thought process to this direction. If you have not, you need to start. Of course, this is a very dicey direction for you. Due to almost daily scandals, and collapses of your favorite ObamaCare, your throne has been burning, so the last think you need is to alienate a whole bunch of liberal morons and paddlers. And this issue will definitely do that.

Because this issue also brings up topics, such as

- One day we will have to admit (I think most everyone have "recognized" it, few admitted) that our entire education system is trash, that is being driven ever deeper into trash by the teachers unions, which have been keeping America hostage;
- We will have to formulate a philosophy that it is better to create educated children to begin with, instead of trashing them first, then try to either reform them (with insane programs such as midnight basket ball), or jail them;
- In order to dig the Trayvans and Rachel Jeantels of the world out of the total individual, educational, societal, and human quality quagmire (thus stopping the whoop ass culture), we will have to start them to learn the meaning of terminologies such as "discipline", "achievement", "personal responsibility", "education", "culture", and we must do it between age five and twelve;
- ...which will not be easy to do, because we will have to re-qualify entire segments of the society from being "entitled" to be "self-reliant";
- We will have to teach the black segment of our society, how to look inward, figuring out how they must carry certain part of the blame and burden for their current situation;
- We will have to stop insane programs such as "No child left behind" that left more child behind than
 ever before while scores went up (after schools figured out how to prepare students for tests instead of
 teaching them actual knowledge);
- We will have to let the Joe Arpaios of the world do their job, and let them stop Latino looking people if
 their state is next to Mexico (thus adapting the Giuliani theorem: "If we got a call that two twenty year
 old black male committed a crime, I cannot go out and stop old blond white people just to have the
 statistic OK" —I am paraphrasing);
- We will have to start to think about legalizing drugs, because in the last forty or so years, the "jailing everybody near the drug" philosophy, and the hundreds of billions of dollars have been clearly wasted. We will eventually have to realize that the ending of prohibition and the legalization of drugs are the same concepts.

So, Mr. President, you cannot be more wrong on this one, because talking about race in cases such as Trayvan Martin's is putting band aid on a patient who just fell five stories, and whose collar bone is sticking out in three pieces. It does not work.

Letter To Obama

July 25, 2013

If you want to do something good for this country, you will have to line up with people such as Bill Cosby and many others, who already figured out why this is not about race. You need to start to teach the black community that 90% of the approach other parts of the society have vis-à-vis the blacks is the direct mirror image of the way how blacks portray themselves (just like the Austrians' behavior was the direct mirror image to the Hungarians' shoplifting habit). You will need to start to teach the black community to weed out people who bring shame on the entire black society.

In short, you need to start to educate the "Trayvan Martin / Rachel Jeantel Society" (you know, the one that produces the "97% of all homicides against blacks are committed by blacks" statistic) why this is not working. It will produce two very positive results for you; it will start the "Trayvan Martin / Rachel Jeantel Society" think, and will earn respect from the rest of us.

But, if you did this, Jessie Jackson and Al Sharpton will build a bonfire on the White House lawn. Who knows? It might just worth it.