

I have not thought about this for a while, but it came back to me on the account of our Ambassador to Libya was murdered by terrorists. And it is not so much what B. Hussein Obama and his entourage (I cannot call them a “government” or a “cabinet”) purposely lied about. That part is an incident. Our Hussein is an incident. The issue is much-much larger, and if Hussein was not that blind, he could have created the only rightful argument blaming President George W. Bush.

As I wrote in 2008, the progressive, social-liberal, globalizing, “one-world” forces are out there to eliminate the United States of America. B. Hussein is their tool in doing so. They fed this “we are equal, but if we are not, we will be” criminally stupid fantasy to subsequent Western governments since Bill Clinton’s time. Did you know there is a “war on women” going in the US? And did you know there is a “war on women” going in i.e. Pakistan? But has anyone ever heard a single commentator saying “Well with the mild difference: our war on women constitutes a \$9 bill for contraception, while Pakistani women get stoned dead in a public place”. No. Of course not. No one has ever heard that. We are equal –goes the brainwashing. The –almost- unintended consequence of this argument that if we are “equal”, well, then we have the equal need in life, food, shelter, democratic system, policies, and a list of other ingredients.

Unfortunately, W. bought into that concept. He honestly believed (and I argued against the concept from day one) the possibility of taking the most advanced democratic paradigm in human history (well ... it was until B. Hussein showed up) and superimposing it on regions where democracy is an unknown, never heard and/or wanted commodity. In regions where no one wants to have democracy. The progressive globalizing forces could have not been happier. They knew it is false, they knew it is a bold face lie, but they were happy nevertheless.

This “we are equal” sick fantasy created a distinct situation (or “confusion”, whichever way you want to look at it), where “we just need to go there, need to explain our system better, need to show them the light at the end of the tunnel, and they will jump on the bandwagon”. Wrong. The result has manifested itself in Iraq, where they were happy with us until Saddam’s statue became horizontal, and it created the “Blame America First” concept. Because if “all they need is to see a rational explanation how good our system is, then –upon they are not getting it- the “explanation shall be labeled wrong”; thus Blame America First.

Ever since 1993, at the time Billy Clinton just barely started to chase interns in the White House and after the first bombing of the World Trade Center, the consecutive US governments have been trying to solve the unsolvable. In fact, this problem is so unsolvable that the old “square peg into a round hole” idea is a cakewalk compared to this one. So what it is?

Well let me step back a bit. When the US (finally, against the contemporary doves’ whining) committed itself to clean Hitler’s clock, no one in the administration used the “we will have to win the German people’s hearts and minds” line. We went there, bombed Berlin, Dresden, and a few other places; delivered the message. We did it without being under this sick delusion of “we cannot bomb xyz target, because ‘innocent lives’ are in danger”. We just did it. And it worked. Not long after, we delivered the

same message to the Japanese Emperor, using Hiroshima, then Nagasaki as a “mailbox”. I argued back in 2003 that W. missed a simple point: based upon its contemporary culture, the Arab world’s psychological language today is as far away from the “Western” language, as the Japanese were back in the 1940s. Japan was every bit of a militaristic, fattish-based, blind, order-follower society the Arabs are today. The kamikaze idea was well and alive way before the Arabs figured out how to spell “terrorism”. What really happened in Japan, back in 1945, was that Truman communicated his wishes and “going forward” idea to the Japanese emperor using the only language the emperor understood: through Hiroshima and Nagasaki. And my progressive and social-liberal friends do not believe to this day: upon Truman’s message was delivered, it was understood, and replied to: on the deck of the USS Missouri.

Today, the (Middle and Far) East/West coin has two sides:

We either go in, clean clocks and come home,
or
We go in to “win hearts and minds” and we are stuck.

Unfortunately, W. bought into the latter. To this day, I heard no one on the Republican or Democrat sides waking up and smelling the coffee to answer the simple question: “*Why this war has been taking twice as much time as WWII did?*” The answer is right above this paragraph. What W. and everyone in his administration bought into, then Obama’s entourage furthered is the hopeless try to circumvent a patently simple concept, which has the following components:

- As the (fake) story goes, all these nice Arab people want is the US get out of their land; after that, al Qaeda and the Taliban’s only function will be to open food kitchens, book stores for children, gardening centers, massage parlors, yoga classes, and free cleaning services for elderly Jewish people in their home.....Just get the US out of here!
-I would support that concept!..... really!
-with a minor modification: we come home, but after that, the same day an **American entity** (an Embassy), **interest** (an oil drilling operation a US company legitimately purchased right to, or an oil pipe across the desert, transporting oil), or a **person** (a bus full of US tourists gets attacked) was harmed, the US Air Force would show up, with no intention of “winning hearts and minds”. Under this very simple concept, I would have eliminated larger part of Yemen’s cost line after the USS Cole was attacked. Beside my total, undying respect and admiration for President Bush, I was very disappointed on September 12, 2001, for not seeing him on TV with an announcement: “My fellow citizens, last night we transformed Afghanistan into a nuclear lake”. I was really, honestly counting on that. W. missed a huge historical opportunity on that one. And –after Arab terrorists killed our ambassador- Benghazi would be nothing more than a collection of various size of bomb craters.
- Deal?

Far from being a warmonger, I am just trying to offer a solution apparently everyone in the Arab world is craving for. No, No, No !! The bleeding heart progressives go. If you did that, you are killing innocent people!

Let me ask:

- Was the US ambassador innocent?
- Was the four other guys innocent?
- What was the crime of the more than 7,000 US military men and women who died?
- Were the thirteen sailors guilty of anything on the USS Cole?
- How about the marines who died in Beirut?
- What was the crime of Malala, the thirteen year old girl in Pakistan, before they shot her in the head?

Well, yes, -goes the argument- but we cannot be like them and this is where the vicious circle of crime and stupidity closes; the “what language should we talk to make ourselves understood over there?” circle.

I described in my 2008 argument, a vicious flowchart we are looking at:

“.....

- The Taliban set up shops in Iraq, we beat them;
- They moved back to Afghanistan;
- We have gone after them (at great human and financial expense);
- They moved to Pakistan;
- If we ever figure out how to solve the “Afghan problem” (I do not think we will), they will move back to Iraq, where we moved out from;
- Every now and then they will make a stop in Iran;
- If by any chance we go back and beat them again in Iraq (while keeping enough force in Afghanistan), they will move to Yemen;
- Or Somalia

It is nauseating to see how blatantly Pakistan, Afghanistan, Yemen, and a list of others milking the US government of our tax dollar. Pakistan cannot function without billions we give them, but we cannot cross their borders when we need;

Hamid Karzai would be killed at 12:05pm if we left Afghanistan at 11:30am; yet (in the name of “independent government”) we know are “worried” that “Mr. Karzai will revoke the license of US security companies”. Are you kidding me? How about we told “Mr. Karzai”: “We leave now, but 24 hour after the next terrorist attempt –planned in Afghanistan - against the US or US interests, Kabul, Kandahar and the tribal regions are permanently gone.....”

In line of the previous concept, I have been arguing since 1993 for years that the US should change its code of justice. In my humble opinion, we should clarify a clear guideline what “terrorism” is (in the broadest scope possible), then should anyone be caught in “terrorism” would by definition go under military court system. We should have mandatory guidelines, such as “it does not matter if your attempt was successful or not, if you tried it, we hang you”. Under this guideline, the “shoe bomber”, the “underwear bomber”, the “Time Square bomber” would be six feet under in 10 days after they were caught. We surely will not go anywhere, until this Arab moron kills thirteen yelling “Allahu Akbar”, and Obama&Co calls it a “workplace related violence”.

The Great Delusion

October 20, 2012

So long as we are not willing to admit that our democracy is not a global commodity;

So long as we are not willing to admit that people over there are not missing our democratic model;

So long as we are not willing to admit that “you go to war to win by killing the enemy, not winning its hearts and minds”;

.....we will be wasting lives, money, time, reputation, respect, and at the end, we will be wasting the United States of America.